



- ▶ OPEN POLICY ISSUES.....1-2
- ▶ SPOTLIGHT: SECURITY SERVICES LESSONS LEARNED.....2
- ▶ TALKING POINTS.....3
- ▶ FROM THE JBPMO.....3

○ AUGUST | ○ VOLUME 1 | ○ 2010

# Joint Basing Program Management Office

## Monthly Newsletter

VISIT THE JBPMO ON DKO:  
[HTTPS://WWW.US.ARMY.MIL/SUITE/PAGE/560093](https://www.us.army.mil/suite/page/560093)

### Open Policy Issues

#### *Memo on Municipal Services Reimbursement by Privatized Housing at Joint Bases*

The Senior Joint Base Working Group (SJBWG) coordinated on the proposed memo that publishes the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) policy regarding calculation of reimbursement rates for municipal services (e.g., utilities, fire, police, refuse collection, etc) at joint bases. The proposed memo directs the supporting Component to use the same methodology as the original project signatories did when calculating the municipal services reimbursement. We expect the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations & Environment) (DUSD(I&E)) to sign the memo in early September 2010.

#### *Joint Basing Performance / Policy Program Management Review*

This year's Program Management Review (PMR) will be February 2-3, 2011 at Joint Base Myer-Henderson Hall. The purpose of the PMR is to discuss policy, performance, efficiencies, and potential revisions to

the Common Output Level Standards (COLS) for operating joint bases.

Participation is aimed at principal members of the SJBWG, the Joint Base/Region Intermediate Command Summit (ICS), and the Joint Base/Region Partnership Council (JBPC). Each principal member is invited to bring one additional representative.

The first day of the conference, February 2, will consist of Service Component and ICS breakout sessions with self-directed agendas. The second day, February 3, will consist of the agenda topics listed below. These topics are not final and may change prior to the PMR.

- >> Recommended COLS revisions
- >> Policy changes/updates
- >> Cross-Service Component trends/issues, annual performance, and cost/manpower captured from the Cost & Performance Visibility Framework (CPVF)
- >> Facilities Sustainment program management, funding, and execution issues





## Open Policy Issues Cont'd

- » Strategy for Program Objective Memorandum (POM) 2013
- » Family support programs
  - Metrics
  - Funding
  - Program accessibility
- » Joint Base Commander (JBC) / Deputy JBC briefings
  - Identifying and measuring efficiencies
  - Civilian Personnel issues / constraints
  - Energy programs – successes and challenges
  - New mission/stationing – snapshot (known changes in 3-5 years)
  - Family Support – how are JBCs synchronizing various Service programs (e.g., Emergency Aid)

We will send registration, logistics, hotel information, and other details via email as we get closer to the date. Contact us at [jointbasing@osd.mil](mailto:jointbasing@osd.mil) if you have any questions.

### Joint Basing Government Purchase Card (GPC) Policy

The Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology & Logistics / Defense Procurement & Acquisition Policy) provided authoritative interpretation of the Deputy Secretary of Defense's January 22, 2008 memo, Joint Base Implementation Guidance (JBIG), and the April 15, 2008 memo, Procurement Operations Supplemental Guidance (POSG), regarding the transfer of GPCs at joint bases. All GPCs at installations used for anything other than "procurement of weapon systems, items in support of weapons systems, and contingency contracting" are by definition "for and in direct support of installations" (POSG 1.1.1). This means that unless a GPC is being used to support the actual weapon system acquisition, or is used for contingency operations, it is "installation support" and therefore transfers to the supporting Service Component. The memo is currently in staffing for DUSD(I&E) signature, and we expect DUSD(I&E) to sign the memo in September 2010.

## Spotlight – Security Services Lessons Learned

- » Joint bases should ensure Memoranda of Agreement (MOAs) provide complete visibility of contract security guard resourcing and policy. The National Defense Authorization Act eliminates, with few exceptions, the use of contract guards by 2012. Reductions of contract guards are computed at the Department of Defense (DoD) level; therefore, when one Service Component transfers contract guards to another Service Component, the total number of contract guards at the DoD level is unchanged. Each Service Component must make the DoD mandated contract guard reductions based on the baseline number of contract guards they retain after transfer from the supported Service Component to the supporting Service Component. The supporting Service Component should plan to terminate existing supported Service Component guard contracts during Full Operational Capability (FOC), prior to the end of the contracted performance period, to minimize the potential penalties as well as any other avoidable costs.
- » Each Service Component has a law enforcement record management and incident-based reporting system to meet various statutory requirements for collection and reporting of criminal data. There is an ongoing initiative to align reporting via a Defense Law Enforcement Data Exchange system. Though implementation is some time away, installations need to ensure reporting processes meet the respective Service Component law enforcement reporting requirements in the interim.
- » Some protection issues may not be directly related to Joint Basing and are sensitive in nature. Report protection compliance issues, which may be considered classified, through proper channels.
- » Joint bases should ensure the transfer and resourcing of posts and personnel accounts for all applicable Security Services positions. Some joint bases have a number of reimbursable positions, positions funded by tenants, or other agencies to meet security requirements.
- » All Security Services functions must be in compliance with the JBIG unless there is an approved Deviation (JBIG Section 3.8.1.1) or Variance (JBIG Section 3.10). Currently there are no Deviations or Variances on file for Security Services.
- » Training respective Security Service personnel on policies, processes, and response procedures require concerted effort.
- » Functions where Service procedures may vary and require attention include:
  - Traffic enforcement and adjudication (i.e., use of the 1408 vs 1805 citations)
  - Base access policies and processes
  - Vehicle registration and decal requirements
  - Procedures for juvenile offenses on base
  - Installation curfews
  - Disposition of detained or apprehended violators



## Talking Points

### *Morale, Welfare, & Recreation (MWR) COLS*

At the August 5, 2010 SJBWG meeting, the SJBWG tasked the MWR functional team to review their COLS. The joint bases had a number of questions on interpreting the MWR COLS during our Phase II joint base visits. The MWR functional team is reviewing the COLS, and is seeking suggestions from the field on how to measure outcome and output rather than only funding input. Any change in the COLS will be finalized at the PMR in February 2011. Submit suggestions to [jointbasing@osd.mil](mailto:jointbasing@osd.mil).

### *Budget PMR*

The Budget PMR consists of five meetings: the four Service Program Objective Memorandum (POM) briefs to DUSD(I&E), and the October 21, 2010 SJBWG. The Services conducted their POM briefings in early August 2010. Each POM briefing contained slides summarizing the performance of the joint bases that each Service leads. While there were no action items from the Service POM briefs, the “Top 5 Issues” slides in each brief raised a number of key points, including the uniform delivery of Family Support services, facility sustainment funding risk, and dissimilar funding models between single-Service bases and joint bases.

### *CPVF Web-Basing Update*

Development of the web-based version of the CPVF is complete. The next step is to establish the web-based version on an Acquisition, Technology & Logistics server, a step that requires certification. The documentation for the CPVF has been provided to the Information Assurance office to begin the process. That information is currently under review to determine the most expeditious way to accomplish the task of web-basing. In the interim, CPVF reporting will remain the same.

## From the JBPMO

### *Newsletter Topics for Lessons Learned Spotlight*

Each month, this newsletter will spotlight lessons learned in both implementation and execution. The following list includes suggested topics for future newsletters. If you have any other suggestions or comments, please contact us at [jointbasing@osd.mil](mailto:jointbasing@osd.mil).

- >> September – Continuous Improvement Initiatives
- >> October – Financial Management
- >> November – Facility Operations

### *Call for Articles*

If you would like to prepare an article for this newsletter, please contact us at [jointbasing@osd.mil](mailto:jointbasing@osd.mil). Some suggestions for articles include reporting a success story at your joint base, detailing a functional issue or concern and how your joint base overcame it, or discussing the impact of Joint Basing on your military community. Articles should be no longer than 600 words.

### *Joint Basing in the News*

- >> Guam wants more time to respond to buildup plan; Air Force Times, August 26, 2010
- >> 316 WG commander unveils wing’s new vision; Capital Flyer, August 5, 2010

### *JBPMO Website*

We update the JBPMO website on a daily basis, and email weekly updates to the website to all members of the group. We made the following updates during the month of August:

- >> JBPMO July 2010 Newsletter
- >> CPVF Baseline Change Process policy memo



## Missing Something?

If you are working through an issue that requires resolution from the JBPMO and it is not addressed in this newsletter, please bring it to our attention. ►OSD: [jointbasing@osd.mil](mailto:jointbasing@osd.mil) ►Army: [armyjointbasing@conus.army.mil](mailto:armyjointbasing@conus.army.mil) ►Navy: [ANND\\_CNICHO\\_Jointbasing@navy.mil](mailto:ANND_CNICHO_Jointbasing@navy.mil) ►Air Force: [af.jointbasing@pentagon.af.mil](mailto:af.jointbasing@pentagon.af.mil) ►Marine Corps: [jbworkinggroup@usmc.mil](mailto:jbworkinggroup@usmc.mil)