

## FAIR AND REASONABLE PRICE DETERMINATION

NAVSUPPACT NAPLES FORM 4200/2 (New 12-06)

REF: PURCHASE REQUEST/SOLICITATION NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

1. I am recommending award to \_\_\_\_\_. I used one or more of the following price analysis techniques compared to the quoted price of \_\_\_\_\_ (\$\_\_\_\_\_). The quoted price was similar enough to the comparative price(s) to conclude that the quoted price is determined fair and reasonable.

**a. ADEQUATE PRICE COMPARISON.** \_\_\_\_ vendors were solicited and \_\_\_\_ quotes were received. After comparing the quoted prices, I consider the quotes to be competitive. See the Simplified Acquisition Worksheet or BELOW record of price quotes received. (NOTE: If you are making award upon the basis of other than low price AND your RFQ specifically advised offerors of that fact, AND what other factors (such as past performance) you were considering, then you will need to explain below in "Other Price Analyses or Comments".)

### **SUMMARY OF QUOTATIONS**

VENDOR NAME	QUOTATION	REMARKS
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

### **b. COMMERCIAL CATALOG OR PUBLISHED PRICE LIST**

(1) Manufacturer/Vendor's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ (2) Catalog/List Title or Number: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Effective dates: \_\_\_\_\_ (4) Page Number(s) \_\_\_\_\_ (5) Catalog/List Prices: \_\_\_\_\_

The quoted price is the same as the catalog or published price(s) noted above and reflects prices charged to customers buying the same or similar quantities.

The quoted price is higher/lower than the catalog or published price list and is considered fair and reasonable under the circumstances of this acquisition. The decision is based on the following factors:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

### **c. ESTABLISHED MARKET PRICE OR PRICES SET BY**

Law/Regulation. The quoted price is the same as the established market price (based upon market research) or the prices set by law or regulation as verified by (attach copy of ad, or internet quote, etc. if available):

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

If the item(s) are not identical, explain why the comparison is considered valid:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**d. HISTORICAL COMPARISON FOR THE SAME OR SIMILAR ITEM(S)**

(1) Prior Contractor: \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Order No: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Date Purchased: \_\_\_\_\_

(4) Quantity and Unit of Issue: \_\_\_\_\_

(5) Unit Price Previously paid: \_\_\_\_\_

**e. BASIS FOR DETERMINING PRIOR PRICE REASONABLE**

\_\_\_\_\_

If the item(s) are not identical, explain why the comparison is considered valid:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Other Price Analyses or Comments:

2. As the contracting officer for this pending award, I have reviewed the above pricing documentation; and do hereby make the determination that the price of the suggested quote is fair and reasonable.

**PREPARED BY:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Buyer signature and date)

**APPROVED BY:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Contracting Officer signature and date)

**REASON FOR SOLICITING ONLY ONE SOURCE:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**APPROVED BY CONTRACTING OFFICER \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_**