Pursuant to Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, the United States Department of the Navy (Navy) gives notice that the Navy has conducted an evaluation of a Proposed Action which involves construction in a floodplain to determine the potential effects that its activity in the floodplain would have on the human environment. The Navy identified that there are no practicable alternatives to locating the action in the floodplain and that the Proposed Action includes all practicable measures to minimize harm to the floodplain environment.

The proposed action is to complete repairs on various interior and exterior components of hurricane damaged buildings throughout the NAS Pensacola complex to provide safe facilities needed for training, housing, and office space to support the military and training missions at NAS Pensacola. This project will include repairs to buildings located within the floodplains associated with Pensacola Bay, including buildings 253, 601, 603, 607, 623, 634, 680, 3901, 3902, 3903, and 3912.

This project includes, but is not limited to, the repair or replacement of interior ceilings, interior flooring, interior and exterior walls, interior and exterior doors, windows, fire protection systems, electrical systems, interior and exterior lighting, HVAC systems, plumbing systems, roofing systems, exterior fencing, structural systems, and exterior canopies, handrails, and ladders. The project also includes mold, lead, and asbestos abatement, removal or pruning of storm damaged trees, site restoration, landscaping, and storm pipe infrastructure repair and cleanout. Restoring facilities to fully functional conditions is essential to meeting military mission requirements for NAS Pensacola. Additional details of the proposed action are included in the attached Record of Categorical Exclusion.

Interested parties may submit written comments no later than 5:00 PM Central Time on June 19, 2021 by email to joelle.odaniellopez@navy.mil or by mail postmarked no later than June 19, 2021 to:

Naval Air Station Pensacola
Joelle O’Daniel-Lopez, NEPA Program Manager
310 John Towers Road, Building 3560
Pensacola, FL 32508
Location Map
United States Navy
Record of Categorical Exclusion For
DR Sally Packages 2, 10, 11 and Building 603 RFP,
Naval Air Station Pensacola,
Pensacola, Escambia County, Florida

Ref:  (a) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, 42
      USC 4321-4347
      (b) Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for
          Implementing NEPA, 40 CFR 1500-1508
      (c) Policies and Responsibilities for Implementation of the
          National Environmental Policy Act within the Department
          of the Navy, 32 CFR 775
      (d) OPNAV Manual M-5090.1

Encl:  (1) Project Environmental Review Sheet (PERS) form
      (2) RFP Packages
      (3) NASP Floodplain Public Notice
      (4) Florida SHPO consultation and concurrence correspondence
      (5) Refrigerant Tracking Forms

1. **Introduction:** This Record of Categorical Exclusion (RCE),
   prepared in accordance with references (a) through (d), addresses
   the environmental effects and impacts related to the repairing the
damage from Hurricane Sally to buildings 601, 623, 1080, 3901,
3902, 3903, 607, 648, 680, 3690, 3912, 253, 634, 685N, 3561, 504,
516, 3738, 603, and 810 at Naval Air Station Pensacola, Florida. A
categorical exclusion (CATEX) is defined as "[a] published category
of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a
significant impact on the human environment under normal
circumstances, and, therefore, do not require either an
environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement."

2. **Proposed Action:** The proposed action is complete repairs to
   various interior and exterior components of hurricane damage to
   buildings 601, 623, 1080, 3901, 3902, 3903, 607, 648, 680, 3690,
3912, 253, 634, 685N, 3561, 504, 516, 3738, 603, and 810 from the
effects of Hurricane Sally on September 16, 2020.

3. **Applicable Exclusion:** This action falls under Categorical
   Exclusion 34 of 32 CFR 775, "Demolition, disposal, or improvements
   involving buildings or structures when done in accordance with
   applicable regulations including those regulations applying to removal
   of asbestos, PCBs, and other hazardous materials."

CNRSE RCE rev Oct 2020
4. **Summary of Environmental Impacts:** The Notice of Demolition or Asbestos Renovation Form #dep62_257_900(1) is required and must be postmarked or received at least 10 working days before the project start date. Submit the notice to the appropriate DEP district office or local air program office. Standard heavy equipment will be used for demolition during this project. Contact the Asbestos Program Manager at 850-452-2322 for additional information if needed.

Ozone Depleting Substances must be recaptured in conformance with Clean Air Act; contractor is responsible for air emission record keeping. Contractor must use the attached Refrigerant Tracking Form to list all refrigerant added, recovered, reclaimed, and/or recycled. All recovered refrigerant must be turned in to the government, contractors are responsible for providing the refrigerant recovery cylinders to be turned in. A copy of the completed Refrigerant Tracking Form must be provided to the PWD Environmental Department at completion of work. Contact the Air Program Manager at 850-452-9349 for additional information if needed.

40 CFR 112 requires secondary containment and overfill protection for every fuel/oil tank or container with at least 55-gallon capacity. Please ensure secondary containment is provided for all hazardous materials maintained on-site. Use drip pans during transfer operations; adequate absorbent material must be onsite to clean up any spills and prevent releases to the environment. Cover tanks and drip pans during inclement weather and provide procedures and equipment to prevent overfilling of tanks. Contact the Spill Program Manager at 850-452-9349 for additional information if needed.

Do not cut or manipulate existing trees without prior approval from PWD Natural Resources. If viable trees must be removed to fulfill the project, the Forester will have to evaluate the value of the trees to be removed. Mitigation may be required depending on the tree species, size, and location.

SOW assumes that paint is lead based. Contractor responsible for LBP remediation.

All excavations, including anchoring/tie-downs or utility tie-in for temporary trailers/laydown areas, will require a NAS Pensacola Excavation Permit per NASPNCLA INSTRUCTION 11010.3B. Contact the Navy Archaeologist at 850-452-2055 for additional information, if needed.


The Proposed Action is located in a floodplain because the existing buildings are located in a floodplain. The Proposed Action needs to be located in the floodplain because relocation of the buildings not practicable. Implementation of the Proposed Action would not affect flood frequency or severity because there would be no construction of structures that would increase the potential for an increased amount of flood waters, and all construction actions would be in compliance with applicable State and local flood protection standards.
Due to the Proposed Action being implemented in floodplain areas, Executive Order 11988 requires the Navy to provide a public notice of the Proposed Action. A public notice was issued from 20 APR 21 to 10 MAY 21. The public notice was shown on the NASP Facebook page and the NASP CNIC webpage (Enclosure 3). No comments were received from the public during this period.

Therefore, based on this environmental analysis of the proposed action, the Navy has determined this action would not:

- Adversely affect public health or safety;
- Involve effects on the human environment that are highly uncertain, involve unique or unknown risks, or which are scientifically controversial;
- Establish precedents or make decisions in principle for future actions that have the potential for significant impacts;
- Threaten a violation of Federal, State, or local environmental laws applicable to the Department of the Navy; or
- Involve an action that may:
  - Have more than an insignificant or discountable effect on federally protected species under the Endangered Species Act or have impacts that would rise to the level of requiring an Incidental Take Authorization under the Marine Mammal Protection Act irrespective of whether one is procured;
  - Have an adverse effect on coral reefs or on federally designated wilderness areas, wildlife refuges, marine sanctuaries and monuments, or parklands;
  - Adversely affect the size, function, or biological value of wetlands and is not covered by a general (nationwide, regional, or state) permit;
  - Have an adverse effect on archaeological resources or resources listed or determined to be eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (including, but not limited to, ships, aircraft, vessels, and equipment) where compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act has not been resolved through an agreement executed between the Department of the Navy and the appropriate historic preservation office and other appropriate consulting parties; or
  - Result in an uncontrolled or unpermitted release of hazardous substances or require a conformity determination under standards in 40 CFR part 93, subpart B (the Clean Air Act General Conformity Rule).

5. **Record Keeping:** This Record of CATEX should be retained in command files for seven years and made available for review during environmental quality assessments. RCEs relying on categorical
exclusions #43 and #44 must be uploaded to the OPNAV (N45) Environmental Planning Library Web site per section 10-3.7c(1)(b)4 of Reference (d).

6. Conclusion: The undersigned finds that the proposed action is within the scope of CATEX 34 and none of the exclusions from reliance on a CATEX apply in this case. Therefore, the proposed action is excluded from the requirement for further NEPA analysis. In accordance with Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, the Navy finds there is no other practicable alternative to implementing the Proposed Action within the floodplain and that the Proposed Action includes all practicable measures to minimize harm to the floodplain environment.

Approved by:

A. MCCARTHY
CDR, US Navy
Public Works Officer
Naval Air Station Pensacola
By direction

14 MAY 21
Date
### PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: Design Review - Sally Package 10 RFP</th>
<th>Date: 3/30/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### 1. Natural Resources

- **Yes**
- **No**

  - _X_ Does project affect flora?
  - _X_ Does project affect fauna?
  - _X_ Does project affect Bird-Aircraft Strike Hazards (BASH)?
  - _X_ Does project affect erosion?

#### 2. Jurisdictional Wetlands or Other Surface Waters [CWA 404(b)(1), E.O. 11990]

- _X_ Project is sited in a jurisdictional wetland. See section 13 for required permits.

- _X_ Provided public notice per E.O. 11990 of proposed action for projects with jurisdictional wetlands prior to RCE signature. The RCE Conclusion Section must include a concise Finding of No Practicable Alternative (FONPA) statement.

#### 3. Flood Plains (E.O. 11988)

- _X_ Reviewed most current FEMA Map for the project site [https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home](https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home).

- _X_ Project is sited in a 1-percent-annual-chance flood. See section 13 for required permits.

- _X_ Project is not sited in a 1-percent-annual-chance flood (formerly known as the 100-year flood or base flood)

- _X_ Provided public notice per E.O. 11988 of proposed action for projects located within flood plains prior to RCE signature. The RCE Conclusion Section must include a concise Finding of No Practicable Alternative (FONPA) statement.

#### 4. Coastal Zone Management Act (15 CFR 930)

- _X_ Project is located within the Coastal Zone.

- _X_ Project is not located within the Coastal Zone.

- _X_ Project is not located in the coastal zone, but has potential to effect coastal uses or resources within the coastal zone (e.g., runoff, emissions, protected species, historic resources, etc.).

- _X_ A Coastal Consistency Determination was submitted to the state coastal management program for the project on __/__/____, (at least 90 days before final approval of the activity, i.e., signed RCE).

- _X_ A Negative Determination was submitted to the state coastal management program for the project on __/__/____, (at least 90 days before final approval of the activity, i.e., signed RCE).

- _X_ Concurrence from the state coastal management program on either the Coastal Consistency Determination or Negative Determination was received on __/__/____.

#### 5. Threatened and Endangered Species

- _X_ Project has potential for affecting threatened or endangered species or federally designated critical habitats.

- _X_ Project has no potential for affecting threatened or endangered species or federally designated critical habitats.

- _X_ Biological Evaluation/Assessment is required. Consultation concluded with a concurrence received on __/__/____.
### PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

**Project Name:** Design Review - Sally Package 10 RFP  
**Date:** 3/30/21

6. Essential Fish Habitat

- [ ] Project has potential to affect essential fish habitat. Consultation with NMFS is required.
- [x] Project does not have potential to affect essential fish habitat.
- An EFH Assessment was submitted to NMFS on __/__/___. Consultation concluded with a concurrence received on __/__/____.

7. Cultural Resources

- Cultural Resources Subject Matter Expert (CR SME) confirms that Project has the potential to affect historic properties. SHPO consultation/concurrence required.
- CR SME concludes that Project has no potential to affect historic properties. SHPO consultation is not required.
- CR SME confirms that Project Area of Potential Effects (APE) has been surveyed and no historic properties were identified. SHPO consultation/concurrence required.
- [x] CR SME confirms that Project will not incur adverse effects on historic properties identified in the APE. SHPO consultation/concurrence required.
- CR SME confirms that Project will incur an adverse effect on historic properties identified in the APE. SHPO consultation/concurrence required.
- CR SME confirms that Project will affect sites of interest to federally recognized Indian tribes. Appropriate tribal consultation required.
- [x] CR SME confirms that Section 106 consultation concluded with a concurrence received on 2/23/21 and 4/2/21.

8. Water, Wastewater and Stormwater

8a. Water:

- [x] Implementation of the Proposed Action will not affect water.
- Construction permit required for extension of water system. See section 13.
- Backflow preventer(s) required. Must be field tested by licensed inspector upon installation.
- Sprinkler system must have rain sensor device.
- Well drilling/mod/abandonment must be conducted by a licensed contractor. Permit required. (See Section 13.)
- Other: Storm water management plan will require addressing possible contaminated soil exposure.

8b. Wastewater:

- [x] Implementation of the Proposed Action will not affect wastewater.
- Construction permit required to connect to collection system. See section 13.
- No permit required.
- Other: 

8c. Stormwater:

- [x] Implementation of the Proposed Action will not affect stormwater.
- Site included in station stormwater master plan; permit required but may access existing stormwater system.
- NPDES 5-acre site; construction contractor must obtain permit and implement Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (CWA Section 402). See section 13.
- Notice of Intent/Notice of Termination required.
- Upon completion site will be included in station Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
- [x] Other: Erosion control measures in place and/or dust control measures whenever earth is exposed.
- Other: Power wash runoff must not enter storm drains. Avoid power washing with soap or
# PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: Design Review - Sally Package 10 RFP</th>
<th>Date: 3/30/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chemicals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Must submit Stormwater Management Plan for approval before commencing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 9. Installation Restoration Program (IRP)/Leaking Petroleum Storage Tank (LPST) |
| Facility is on an IRP/LPST site. |
| Facility is sited near an IRP/LPST site. Approximately various facilities are located in remote proximity to sites under Long Term Monitoring feet away. |
| Facility is not sited on or near an IRP/LPST site. |
| The nature of the site contamination does not preclude the type of construction activity proposed. |
| Land Use Restrictions are in effect. |
| The proposed facility is acceptable land use. |
| The proposed facility is not acceptable land use. |
| There is a Compliance Agreement associated with this site. |
| A Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study &/or Affected Property Assessment Report was completed on ____/____/____, to accurately delineate the aerial extent of the contamination. |

The following activities must be coordinated with the IRP Manager/Navy: excavation, sampling, and 40-hour H&S training.

| 10. Air Pollutants |
| Will be generated by implementation of the Proposed Action. |
| Request for permit determination is required. |
| Only de minimus air effects are expected (identify sources in RCE). |
| Will not be generated by implementation of the Proposed Action. |
| Conformity applicability analysis is not required. |
| Conformity applicability analysis is required. See section 13. |
| Construction Permit for new air emissions source is not required. |
| Construction Permit for new air emissions source is required. See section 13. |
| Ozone Depleting Substance must be recaptured in conformance with Clean Air Act |
| Contractor is responsible for air emission record keeping. |
**PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET**

**Project Name:** Design Review - Sally Package 10 RFP  
**Date:** 3/30/21

11. **Hazardous Wastes**
   - Will implementation of the Proposed Action generate any wastes?  
     - Yes [X] No

Hazardous waste generation and disposal must be coordinated with the Station HW Manager.

11a. **Asbestos:**
   - [ ] Present: (See attached Asbestos Survey Certification form.)
   - [ ] Not present.
   - [ ] Survey completed on ________________.
   - [ ] Need asbestos survey.
   - [ ] Department of Health Notification Required
   - [X] Contractor is responsible for all Notification fees and disposal costs.

All asbestos work will be done by personnel who hold a license issued by the appropriate regulatory authority.

11b. **Lead Based Paint:**
   - [X] Present: (See attached Lead Survey Certification form.) SEE NOTES
   - [ ] Need lead survey.
   - [ ] Survey completed on ________________.
   - [ ] Not present. (Lead Survey Certification not required.)

11c. **Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs):**
   - [ ] Present: See IR Affected Property Assessment Report
   - [X] Not present.

Other known hazardous or toxic substances and pollutants (e.g. contaminated soils):
   - [X] Not present.
   - [ ] Present: See IR Affected Property Assessment Report

12. **Solid Wastes**
   - Solid waste disposal must be coordinated with Solid Waste Manager.
   - Will work being performed on the project generate any nonhazardous waste?  
     - Yes [X] No
   - Construction and Demolition debris?  
     - [X] Yes  [ ] No
   - Recyclables?  
     - [X] Yes  [ ] No (All recyclable quantities must be reported/submitted in tons to SWM)

13. **Environmental Permits**
   - The following permits are required prior to construction:
     - Army Corps of Engineers Permit for wetland impacts.
     - Construction permit required for extension of potable water system per ________.
     - Construction permit required to connect to sanitary collection system per ________.
     - Well drilling/modification/abandonment Permit required per ________. Work must be performed by a licensed contractor.
     - NPDES 5-acre site; construction contractor must obtain permit and implement Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan.
     - Construction permit for new air emissions source.
   - [X] Dig permit required per NASPCLA INSTRUCTION 11010.3B.
# PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: Design Review - Sally Package 10 RFP</th>
<th>Date: 3/30/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Permits:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_____ No permits are required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Extraordinary Circumstances

Were one or more extraordinary circumstances of 32 CFR 775.6(e)(1) present and a consideration for this proposed action?

___Yes*  _X_No

*If yes, notify CNRSE Regional NEPA Coordinator immediately.

15. Comments:

10. Air Pollutants: The Notice of Demolition or Asbestos Renovation Form #dep62_257_900(1) is required and must be postmarked or received at least 10 working days before the project start date. Submit the notice to the appropriate DEP district office or local air program office. Standard heavy equipment will be used for demolition during this project. Contact the Asbestos Program Manager at 850-452-2322 for additional information if needed.

10. Air Pollutants: Ozone Depleting Substances must be recaptured in conformance with Clean Air Act; contractor is responsible for air emission record keeping. Contractor must use the attached Refrigerant Tracking Form to list all refrigerant added, recovered, reclaimed, and/or recycled. All recovered refrigerant must be turned in to the government, contractors are responsible for providing the refrigerant recovery cylinders to be turned in. A copy of the completed Refrigerant Tracking Form must be provided to the PWD Environmental Department at completion of work. Contact the Air Program Manager at 850-452-9349 for additional information if needed.

Spill Prevention: 40 CFR 112 requires secondary containment and overfill protection for every fuel/oil tank or container with at least 55-gallon capacity. Please ensure secondary containment is provided for all hazardous materials maintained on-site. Use drip pans during transfer operations; adequate absorbent material must be onsite to clean up any spills and prevent releases to the environment. Cover tanks and drip pans during inclement weather and provide procedures and equipment to prevent overfilling of tanks. Contact the Spill Program Manager at 850-452-9349 for additional information if needed.

Natural Resources: Do not cut or manipulate existing trees without prior approval from PWD Natural Resources. If viable trees must be removed to fulfill the project, the Forester will have to evaluate the value of the trees to be removed. Mitigation may be required depending on the tree species, size, and location. SOW assumes that paint is lead based. Contractor responsible for LBP remediation.

Environmental Permits: All excavations, including anchoring/tie-downs or utility tie-in for temporary trailers/laydown areas, will require a NAS Pensacola Excavation Permit per NASPNCLA INSTRUCTION 11010.3B. Contact the Navy Archaeologist at 850-452-2055 for additional information, if needed.

PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

Project Name: Design Review - Sally Package 10 RFP
Date: 3/30/21

16. If the proposed action does not require a documented Record of Categorical Exclusion (RCE), complete the following:
The proposed action falls under CATEX _______ and does not require a formally documented RCE, per CNRSEINST 5090.1B (4)(c).

17. Are multiple CATEXs being applied to this proposed action? ___Yes ___No

Please note the use of multiple CATEXs for a proposed action should be the exception, not the rule. NAVFACSE NEPA Core should also be engaged to ensure consistency across the Region. If it has been determined the use of multiple categorical exclusions (typically no more than 2) are appropriate for the proposed action, please revise the conclusion language of Section 6 of the RCE to the following:

Conclusion: The undersigned finds that the proposed action is within the scope of CATEX XX and CATEX XX, and none of the exclusions from reliance on a CATEX apply in this case. Regarding the use of multiple CATEXs for this proposed action, the undersigned also finds that the proposed action has not been improperly segmented to meet the definition a CATEX; that the proposed action is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts; is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts; and, would not irreversibly commit the Navy to one large action. Therefore, the proposed action is excluded from the requirement for further NEPA analysis.

18. Per NRSEINST 5090.2B, please submit complete copies of all signed RCEs with enclosures to the CNRSE Regional NEPA Coordinator within 14 calendar days of signature, in a single pdf file.

Environmental Division Director Michael Keethler
Public Works Department, Pensacola
PHONE: (850) 452-2114
FAX: (850) 452-2893

Signature: KEETHLER.MICH
AEL.NEWTON.10
77401977
Digitally signed by KEETHLER.MICH
N.E.NR.2102.397
Date: 05/10/2021

Environmental Reviewers:

Name/Media/Date: Darrell L. Wilson / Air-SPCC / 31 Mar 21

Name/Media/Date: Anna E. Lizana / NR / 31 Mar 21

Name/Media/Date: Thomas L. Archie / IR / 31 Mar 21

Name/Media/Date: Robert Wilkins / Haz Waste / 31 Mar 21

Name/Media/Date: Carrie Williams-Hannah/Cultural Resources/2 Apr 21

Name/Media/Date: Joelle O'Daniel-Lopez/Water Quality & NEPA/10 May 2021

Name/Media/Date: ________________________________
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Enclosure (2)
# PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

**Project Name:** Design Review - Sally Package 11 RFP  
**Date:** 3/30/21

1. **Natural Resources**
   - **Yes**  
   - **No**
   - ___ **X** Does project affect flora?  
   - ____ **X** Does project affect fauna?  
   - ____ **X** Does project affect Bird-Aircraft Strike Hazards (BASH)?  
   - ____ **X** Does project affect erosion?

2. **Jurisdictional Wetlands or Other Surface Waters [CWA 404(b)(1), E.O. 11990]**
   - Project is sited in a jurisdictional wetland. See section 13 for required permits.
   - ___ **X** Project is not sited in a jurisdictional wetland.
   - ___ Provided public notice per E.O. 11990 of proposed action for projects with jurisdictional wetlands prior to RCE signature. The RCE Conclusion Section must include a concise Finding of No Practicable Alternative (FONPA) statement.

3. **Flood Plains (E.O. 11988)**
   - ___ **X** Reviewed most current FEMA Map for the project site (https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home).
   - ___ **X** Project is sited in a 1-percent-annual-chance flood. See section 13 for required permits.
   - ____ Project is not sited in a 1-percent-annual-chance flood (formerly known as the 100-year flood or base flood)
   - ___ Provided public notice per E.O. 11988 of proposed action for projects located within flood plains prior to RCE signature. The RCE Conclusion Section must include a concise Finding of No Practicable Alternative (FONPA) statement.

4. **Coastal Zone Management Act (15 CFR 930)**
   - ___ **X** Project is located within the Coastal Zone.
   - ____ Project is not located within the Coastal Zone.
   - ____ Project is not located in the coastal zone, but has potential to effect coastal uses or resources within the coastal zone (e.g., runoff, emissions, protected species, historic resources, etc.).
   - ____ A Coastal Consistency Determination was submitted to the state coastal management program for the project on __/__/____, (at least 90 days before final approval of the activity, i.e., signed RCE).
   - ____ A Negative Determination was submitted to the state coastal management program for the project on __/__/____, (at least 90 days before final approval of the activity, i.e., signed RCE).
   - ____ Concurrency from the state coastal management program on either the Coastal Consistency Determination or Negative Determination was received on __/__/____.

5. **Threatened and Endangered Species**
   - ____ Project has potential for affecting threatened or endangered species or federally designated critical habitats.
   - ___ **X** Project has no potential for affecting threatened or endangered species or federally designated critical habitats.
   - ____ Biological Evaluation/Assessment is required. Consultation concluded with a concurrence received on __/__/____.
## PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: Design Review - Sally Package 11 RFP</th>
<th>Date: 3/30/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 6. Essential Fish Habitat
- Project has potential to affect essential fish habitat. Consultation with NMFS is required. 
  - **X** Project does not have potential to affect essential fish habitat.
  - **_** An EFH Assessments was submitted to NMFS on __/__/__/ . Consultation concluded with a concurrence received on __/__/__/ .

### 7. Cultural Resources
- **_** Cultural Resources Subject Matter Expert (CR SME) confirms that Project has the potential to affect historic properties. SHPO consultation/concurrence required.
- **_** CR SME concludes that Project has no potential to affect historic properties. SHPO consultation is not required.
- **_** CR SME confirms that Project Area of Potential Effects (APE) has been surveyed and no historic properties were identified. SHPO consultation/concurrence required.
- **X** CR SME confirms that Project will not incur adverse effects on historic properties identified in the APE. SHPO consultation/concurrence required.
- **_** CR SME confirms that Project will incur an adverse effect on historic properties identified in the APE. SHPO consultation/concurrence required.
- **_** CR SME confirms that Project will affect sites of interest to federally recognized Indian tribes. Appropriate tribal consultation required.
- **X** CR SME confirms that Section 106 consultation concluded with a concurrence received on 3/5/21 ; 4/1/21 ; and 4/2/21 .

### 8. Water, Wastewater and Stormwater

#### 8a. Water:
- **X** Implementation of the Proposed Action will not affect water.
  - Construction permit required for extension of water system. See section 13.
  - Backflow preventer(s) required. Must be field tested by licensed inspector upon installation.
  - Sprinkler system must have rain sensor device.
  - Well drilling/mod/abandonment must be conducted by a licensed contractor. Permit required. (See Section 13.)
  - Other: Storm water management plan will require addressing possible contaminated soil exposure.

#### 8b. Wastewater:
- **X** Implementation of the Proposed Action will not affect wastewater.
  - Construction permit required to connect to collection system. See section 13.
  - No permit required.
  - Other: ________________________________

#### 8c. Stormwater:
- **X** Implementation of the Proposed Action will not affect stormwater.
  - Site included in station stormwater master plan; permit required but may access existing stormwater system.
  - NPDES 5-acre site; construction contractor must obtain permit and implement Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (CWA Section 402). See section 13.
  - Notice of Intent/Notice of Termination required.
  - Upon completion site will be included in station Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
  - Other: Erosion control measures in place and/or dust control measures whenever earth is exposed.
  - Other: Power wash runoff must not enter storm drains. Avoid power washing with soap or

---

_PERS Form rev May 2020_  
Enclosure (2)
### PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

**Project Name:** Design Review - Sally Package 11 RFP  
**Date:** 3/30/21

- chemicals.
- Other: Must submit Stormwater Management Plan for approval before commencing.
- Other: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. Installation Restoration Program (IRP)/Leaking Petroleum Storage Tank (LPST)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility is on an IRP/LPST site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility is sited near an IRP/LPST site. Approximately various facilities are located in remote proximity to sites under Long Term Monitoring feet away.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility is not sited on or near an IRP/LPST site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X</strong> The nature of the site contamination does not preclude the type of construction activity proposed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X</strong> Land Use Restrictions are in effect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______ The proposed facility is acceptable land use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______ The proposed facility is not acceptable land use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______ There is a Compliance Agreement associated with this site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______ A Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study &amp;/or Affected Property Assessment Report was completed on <strong><strong>/</strong></strong>/____, to accurately delineate the aerial extent of the contamination.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following activities must be coordinated with the IRP Manager/Navy: excavation, sampling, and 40-hour H&S training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10. Air Pollutants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>______ Will be generated by implementation of the Proposed Action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______ Request for permit determination is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______ Only de minimus air effects are expected (identify sources in RCE).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X</strong> Will not be generated by implementation of the Proposed Action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X</strong> Conformity applicability analysis is not required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conformity applicability analysis is required. See section 13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X</strong> Construction Permit for new air emissions source is not required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X</strong> Construction Permit for new air emissions source is required. See section 13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X</strong> Ozone Depleting Substance must be recaptured in conformance with Clean Air Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X</strong> Contractor is responsible for air emission record keeping.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

**Project Name:** Design Review - Sally Package 11 RFP  
**Date:** 3/30/21

11. **Hazardous Wastes**
   - Will implementation of the Proposed Action generate any wastes?   
     - Yes   
     - No

Hazardous waste generation and disposal must be coordinated with the Station HW Manager.

11a. **Asbestos:**
   - Present: (See attached Asbestos Survey Certification form.)
   - Not present.
   - Survey completed on ________________.
   - Need asbestos survey.
   - Department of Health Notification Required
   - Contractor is responsible for all Notification fees and disposal costs.

All asbestos work will be done by personnel who hold a license issued by the appropriate regulatory authority.

11b. **Lead Based Paint:**
   - Present: (See attached Lead Survey Certification form.) SEE NOTES
   - Need lead survey.
   - Survey completed on ________________.
   - Not present. (Lead Survey Certification not required.)

11c. **Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs):**
   - Present: See IR Affected Property Assessment Report
   - Not present.

Other known hazardous or toxic substances and pollutants (e.g. contaminated soils):
   - Present: See IR Affected Property Assessment Report

12. **Solid Wastes**
   - Solid waste disposal must be coordinated with Solid Waste Manager.
   - Will work being performed on the project generate any nonhazardous waste?   
     - Yes   
     - No
   - Construction and Demolition debris?   
     - Yes
     - No
   - Recyclables?   
     - Yes   
     - No (All recyclable quantities must be reported/submitted in tons to SWM)

13. **Environmental Permits**
   - The following permits are required prior to construction:
   - Army Corps of Engineers Permit for wetland impacts.
   - Construction permit required for extension of potable water system per ____________
   - Construction permit required to connect to sanitary collection system per ____________
   - Well drilling/modification/abandonment Permit required per ____________. Work must be performed by a licensed contractor.
   - NPDES 5-acre site; construction contractor must obtain permit and implement Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan.
   - Construction permit for new air emissions source.
   - Dig permit required per NASPCLA INSTRUCTION 11010.3B.
PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

Project Name: Design Review - Sally Package 11 RFP
Date: 3/30/21

Other Permits: ________

______ No permits are required.

14. Extraordinary Circumstances
Were one or more extraordinary circumstances of 32 CFR 775.6(e)(1) present and a consideration for this proposed action?

__Yes*  X No

*If yes, notify CNRSE Regional NEPA Coordinator immediately.

15. Comments:

10. Air Pollutants: The Notice of Demolition or Asbestos Renovation Form #dep62_257_900(1) is required and must be postmarked or received at least 10 working days before the project start date. Submit the notice to the appropriate DEP district office or local air program office. Standard heavy equipment will be used for demolition during this project. Contact the Asbestos Program Manager at 850-452-2322 for additional information if needed.

10. Air Pollutants: Ozone Depleting Substances must be recaptured in conformance with Clean Air Act; contractor is responsible for air emission record keeping. Contractor must use the attached Refrigerant Tracking Form to list all refrigerant added, recovered, reclaimed, and/or recycled. All recovered refrigerant must be turned in to the government, contractors are responsible for providing the refrigerant recovery cylinders to be turned in. A copy of the completed Refrigerant Tracking Form must be provided to the PWD Environmental Department at completion of work. Contact the Air Program Manager at 850-452-9349 for additional information if needed.

Spill Prevention: 40 CFR 112 requires secondary containment and overfill protection for every fuel/oil tank or container with at least 55-gallon capacity. Please ensure secondary containment is provided for all hazardous materials maintained on-site. Use drip pans during transfer operations; adequate absorbent material must be onsite to clean up any spills and prevent releases to the environment. Cover tanks and drip pans during inclement weather and provide procedures and equipment to prevent overfilling of tanks. Contact the Spill Program Manager at 850-452-9349 for additional information if needed.

Natural Resources: Do not cut or manipulate existing trees without prior approval from PWD Natural Resources. If viable trees must be removed to fulfill the project, the Forester will have to evaluate the value of the trees to be removed. Mitigation may be required depending on the tree species, size, and location.

SOW notes LBP may be present. Contractor responsible for LBP remediation.

Environmental Permits: All excavations, including anchoring/tie-downs or utility tie-in for temporary trailers/laydown areas, will require a NAS Pensacola Excavation Permit per NASPNCLA INSTRUCTION 11010.3B. Contact the Navy Archaeologist at 850-452-2055 for additional information if needed.

Cultural Resources: SHPO consultation for National Register of Historic Places eligible buildings 253, 504, 634, and 810 attached.
## PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: Design Review - Sally Package 11 RFP</th>
<th>Date: 3/30/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

16. If the proposed action does not require a documented Record of Categorical Exclusion (RCE), complete the following:

The proposed action falls under CATEX _______ and does not require a formally documented RCE, per CNRSEINST 5090.1B (4)(c).

17. Are multiple CATEXs being applied to this proposed action? ___Yes _X__No

Please note the use of multiple CATEXs for a proposed action should be the exception, not the rule. NAVFACSE NEPA Core should also be engaged to ensure consistency across the Region. If it has been determined the use of multiple categorical exclusions (typically no more than 2) are appropriate for the proposed action, please revise the conclusion language of Section 6 of the RCE to the following:

**Conclusion:** The undersigned finds that the proposed action is within the scope of CATEX XX and CATEX XX, and none of the exclusions from reliance on a CATEX apply in this case. Regarding the use of multiple CATEXs for this proposed action, the undersigned also finds that the proposed action has not been improperly of segmented to meet the definition a CATEX; that the proposed action is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts; is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts; and, would not irreversibly commit the Navy to one large action. Therefore, the proposed action is excluded from the requirement for further NEPA analysis.

18. Per NRSEINST 5090.2B, please submit complete copies of all signed RCEs with enclosures to the CNRSE Regional NEPA Coordinator within 14 calendar days of signature, in a single pdf file.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Division Director</th>
<th>Signature:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael Keethler</td>
<td>KEETHLER,MICHAEL,NEWTON,1077401977</td>
<td>05/13/2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental Reviewers:

- Name/Media/Date: _Darrell L. Wilson / Air-SPCC / 31 Mar 21_
- Name/Media/Date: _Anna E. Lizana / NR / 31 Mar 21_
- Name/Media/Date: _Thomas L. Archie / IR / 31 Mar 21_
- Name/Media/Date: _Robert Wilkins / Haz Waste / 31 Mar 21_
- Name/Media/Date: _Carrie Williams-Hannah/Cultural Resources/2 Apr 21_
- Name/Media/Date: _Joelle O’Daniel-Lopez/Water Quality & NEPA/10 May 2021_

PERS Form rev May 2020
# PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

**Project Name:** Design Review - Sally Package 2 RFP  
**Date:** 3/30/21

1. **Natural Resources**
   - [ ] Yes  
   - [x] No  
   - [ ] Does project affect flora?  
   - [x] Does project affect fauna?  
   - [x] Does project affect Bird-Aircraft Strike Hazards (BASH)?  
   - [ ] Does project affect erosion?

2. **Jurisdictional Wetlands or Other Surface Waters [CWA 404(b)(1), E.O. 11990]**
   - [x] Project is not sited in a jurisdictional wetland. See section 13 for required permits.  
   - [ ] Provided public notice per E.O. 11990 of proposed action for projects with jurisdictional wetlands prior to RCE signature. The RCE Conclusion Section must include a concise Finding of No Practicable Alternative (FONPA) statement.

3. **Flood Plains (E.O. 11988)**
   - [x] Reviewed most current FEMA Map for the project site ([https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home](https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home)).  
   - [x] Project is sited in a 1-percent-annual-chance flood. See section 13 for required permits.).  
   - [ ] Project is not sited in a 1-percent-annual-chance flood (formerly known as the 100-year flood or base flood).  
   - [x] Provided public notice per E.O. 11988 of proposed action for projects located within flood plains prior to RCE signature. The RCE Conclusion Section must include a concise Finding of No Practicable Alternative (FONPA) statement.

4. **Coastal Zone Management Act (15 CFR 930)**
   - [x] Project is located within the Coastal Zone.  
   - [ ] Project is not located within the Coastal Zone.  
   - [ ] Project is not located in the coastal zone, but has potential to effect coastal uses or resources within the coastal zone (e.g., runoff, emissions, protected species, historic resources, etc.).  
   - [ ] A Coastal Consistency Determination was submitted to the state coastal management program for the project on __/__/____, (at least 90 days before final approval of the activity, i.e., signed RCE).  
   - [ ] A Negative Determination was submitted to the state coastal management program for the project on __/__/____, (at least 90 days before final approval of the activity, i.e., signed RCE).  
   - [ ] Concurrence from the state coastal management program on either the Coastal Consistency Determination or Negative Determination was received on __/__/____.

5. **Threatened and Endangered Species**
   - [ ] Project has potential for affecting threatened or endangered species or federally designated critical habitats.  
   - [x] Project has no potential for affecting threatened or endangered species or federally designated critical habitats.  
   - [ ] Biological Evaluation/Assessment is required. Consultation concluded with a concurrence received on __/__/____.
### PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: Design Review - Sally Package 2 RFP</th>
<th>Date: 3/30/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

6. Essential Fish Habitat

- [X] Project has potential to affect essential fish habitat. Consultation with NMFS is required.
- [ ] Project does not have potential to affect essential fish habitat.
- [ ] An EFH Assessments was submitted to NMFS on ___/___/____. Consultation concluded with a concurrence received on ___/___/____.

7. Cultural Resources

- [ ] Cultural Resources Subject Matter Expert (CR SME) confirms that Project has the potential to affect historic properties. SHPO consultation/concurrence required.
- [X] CR SME concludes that Project has no potential to affect historic properties. SHPO consultation is not required.
- [ ] CR SME confirms that Project Area of Potential Effects (APE) has been surveyed and no historic properties were identified. SHPO consultation/concurrence required.
- [X] CR SME confirms that Project will not incur adverse effects on historic properties identified in the APE. SHPO consultation/concurrence required.
- [ ] CR SME confirms that Project will incur an adverse effect on historic properties identified in the APE. SHPO consultation/concurrence required.
- [ ] CR SME confirms that Project will affect sites of interest to federally recognized Indian tribes. Appropriate tribal consultation required.
- [X] CR SME confirms that Section 106 consultation concluded with a concurrence received on 01/15/2021.

8. Water, Wastewater and Stormwater

8a. Water:

- [X] Implementation of the Proposed Action will not affect water.
- [ ] Construction permit required for extension of water system. See section 13.
- [ ] Backflow preventer(s) required. Must be field tested by licensed inspector upon installation.
- [ ] Sprinkler system must have rain sensor device.
- [ ] Well drilling/mod/abandonment must be conducted by a licensed contractor. Permit required. (See Section 13.)
- [ ] Other: Storm water management plan will require addressing possible contaminated soil exposure.

8b. Wastewater:

- [X] Implementation of the Proposed Action will not affect wastewater.
- [ ] Construction permit required to connect to collection system. See section 13.
- [ ] No permit required.
- [ ] Other: ____________________________

8c. Stormwater:

- [X] Implementation of the Proposed Action will not affect stormwater.
- [ ] Site included in station stormwater master plan; permit required but may access existing stormwater system.
- [ ] NPDES 5-acre site; construction contractor must obtain permit and implement Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (CWA Section 402). See section 13.
- [ ] Notice of Intent/Notice of Termination required.
- [ ] Upon completion site will be included in station Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
- [X] Other: Erosion control measures in place and/or dust control measures whenever earth is exposed.
- [ ] Other: Power wash runoff must not enter storm drains. Avoid power washing with soap or
### PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: Design Review - Sally Package 2 RFP</th>
<th>Date: 3/30/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Must submit Stormwater Management Plan for approval before commencing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Installation Restoration Program (IRP)/Leaking Petroleum Storage Tank (LPST)

- Facility is on an IRP/LPST site.
- Facility is not sited near an IRP/LPST site. Approximately **various facilities are located in remote proximity to sites under Long Term Monitoring** feet away.
- Facility is not sited on or near an IRP/LPST site.
- The nature of the site contamination does not preclude the type of construction activity proposed.
- Land Use Restrictions are in effect.
- The proposed facility is acceptable land use.
- The proposed facility is not acceptable land use.
- There is a Compliance Agreement associated with this site.
- A Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study &/or Affected Property Assessment Report was completed on ___/___/___, to accurately delineate the aerial extent of the contamination.

The following activities must be coordinated with the IRP Manager/Navy: excavation, sampling, and 40-hour H&S training.

10. Air Pollutants

- Will be generated by implementation of the Proposed Action.
- Request for permit determination is required.
- Only de minimus air effects are expected (identify sources in RCE).
- Will not be generated by implementation of the Proposed Action.
- Conformity applicability analysis is not required.
- Conformity applicability analysis is required. See section 13.
- Construction Permit for new air emissions source is not required.
- Construction Permit for new air emissions source is required. See section 13.
- Ozone Depleting Substance must be recaptured in conformance with Clean Air Act
- Contractor is responsible for air emission record keeping.
## PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

### Project Name: Design Review - Sally Package 2 RFP  
**Date:** 3/30/21

11. **Hazardous Wastes**  
- Will implementation of the Proposed Action generate any wastes?  
  - Yes ___ X__ No  

Hazardous waste generation and disposal must be coordinated with the Station HW Manager.

#### 11a. Asbestos:  
- Present: (See attached Asbestos Survey Certification form.)  
- Not present.  
- Survey completed on _______________.  
- Need asbestos survey.  
- Department of Health Notification Required  
  - Contractor is responsible for all Notification fees and disposal costs.

All asbestos work will be done by personnel who hold a license issued by the appropriate regulatory authority.

#### 11b. Lead Based Paint:  
- Present: (See attached Lead Survey Certification form.) SEE NOTES  
- Need lead survey.  
- Survey completed on _______________.  
- Not present. (Lead Survey Certification not required.)

#### 11c. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs):  
- Present: See IR Affected Property Assessment Report  
- Not present.

Other known hazardous or toxic substances and pollutants (e.g. contaminated soils):  
- Present: See IR Affected Property Assessment Report  
- Not present.

12. **Solid Wastes**  
- Solid waste disposal must be coordinated with Solid Waste Manager.  
- Will work being performed on the project generate any nonhazardous waste?  
  - Yes ___ X__ No  
- Construction and Demolition debris?  
  - Yes ___ X__ No  
- Recyclables?  
  - Yes ___ X__ No (All recyclable quantities must be reported/submitted in tons to SWM)

13. **Environmental Permits**  
- The following permits are required prior to construction:  
  - Army Corps of Engineers Permit for wetland impacts.  
  - Construction permit required for extension of potable water system per ________  
  - Construction permit required to connect to sanitary collection system per ________  
  - Well drilling/modification/abandonment Permit required per ________ Work must be performed by a licensed contractor.  
  - NPDES 5-acre site; construction contractor must obtain permit and implement Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan.  
  - Construction permit for new air emissions source.  
  - Dig permit required per NASPNCLA INSTRUCTION 11010.3B

---

*PERS Form rev May 2020*  
Enclosure (2)
### PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: Design Review - Sally Package 2 RFP</th>
<th>Date: 3/30/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>X</em> Dig permit required per <strong><strong>NASPCLA INSTRUCTION 11010.3B</strong></strong>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ Other Permits: ____</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>____ No permits are required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Extraordinary Circumstances

Were one or more extraordinary circumstances of 32 CFR 775.6(e)(1) present and a consideration for this proposed action?

__Yes* __No

*If yes, notify CNRSE Regional NEPA Coordinator immediately.

15. Comments:


10. Air Pollutants: The Notice of Demolition or Asbestos Renovation Form #dep62_257_900(1) is required and must be postmarked or received at least 10 working days before the project start date. Submit the notice to the appropriate DEP district office or local air program office. Standard heavy equipment will be used for demolition during this project. Contact the Asbestos Program Manager at 850-452-2322 for additional information if needed.

Air Pollutants: Ozone Depleting Substances must be recaptured in conformance with Clean Air Act; contractor is responsible for air emission record keeping. Contractor must use the attached Refrigerant Tracking Form to list all refrigerant added, recovered, reclaimed, and/or recycled. All recovered refrigerant must be turned in to the government, contractors are responsible for providing the refrigerant recovery cylinders to be turned in. A copy of the completed Refrigerant Tracking Form must be provided to the PWD Environmental Department at completion of work. Contact the Air Program Manager at 850-452-9349 for additional information if needed.

Spill Prevention: 40 CFR 112 requires secondary containment and overfill protection for every fuel/oil tank or container with at least 55-gallon capacity. Please ensure secondary containment is provided for all hazardous materials maintained on-site. Use drip pans during transfer operations; adequate absorbent material must be onsite to clean up any spills and prevent releases to the environment. Cover tanks and drip pans during inclement weather and provide procedures and equipment to prevent overfilling of tanks. Contact the Spill Program Manager at 850-452-9349 for additional information if needed.

Natural Resources: Do not cut or manipulate existing trees without prior approval from PWD Natural Resources. If viable trees must be removed to fulfill the project, the Forester will have to evaluate the value of the trees to be removed. Mitigation may be required depending on the tree species, size, and location.

SOW assumes that paint is lead based. Contractor responsible for LBP remediation.

Environmental Permits: All excavations, including anchoring/tie-downs or utility tie-in for temporary trailers/laydown areas, will require a NAS Pensacola Excavation Permit per NASPCLA INSTRUCTION 11010.3B. Contact the Navy Archaeologist at 850-452-2055 for additional information, if needed.
# PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: Design Review - Sally Package 2 RFP</th>
<th>Date: 3/30/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

16. If the proposed action does not require a documented Record of Categorical Exclusion (RCE), complete the following:

The proposed action falls under CATEX _____ and does not require a formally documented RCE, per CNRSEINST 5090.1B (4)(c).

17. Are multiple CATEXs being applied to this proposed action? ___Yes ___X_No

Please note the use of multiple CATEXs for a proposed action should be the exception, not the rule. NAVFACSE NEPA Core should also be engaged to ensure consistency across the Region. If it has been determined the use of multiple categorical exclusions (typically no more than 2) are appropriate for the proposed action, please revise the conclusion language of Section 6 of the RCE to the following:

**Conclusion:** The undersigned finds that the proposed action is within the scope of CATEX XX and CATEX XX, and none of the exclusions from reliance on a CATEX apply in this case. Regarding the use of multiple CATEXs for this proposed action, the undersigned also finds that the proposed action has not been improperly of segmented to meet the definition a CATEX; that the proposed action is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts; is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts; and, would not irreversibly commit the Navy to one large action.

Therefore, the proposed action is excluded from the requirement for further NEPA analysis.

18. Per NRSEINST 5090.2B, please submit complete copies of all signed RCEs with enclosures to the CNRSE Regional NEPA Coordinator within 14 calendar days of signature, in a single pdf file.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Division Director</th>
<th>Michael Keethler</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Works Department, Pensacola</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE: (850) 452-2114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAX: (850) 452-2893</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature:</td>
<td>KEETHLER.MICH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AELNEWTON.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>05/13/2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental Reviewers:

Name/Media/Date: Darrell L. Wilson / Air-SPCC / 13 May 2021

Name/Media/Date: Anna E. Lizana / NR / 13 May 2021

Name/Media/Date: Thomas L. Archie / IR / 13 May 2021

Name/Media/Date: Robert Wilkins / Haz Waste / 13 May 2021

Name/Media/Date: Carrie Williams-Hannah/Cultural Resources/ 13 May 2021

Name/Media/Date: Joelle O’Daniel-Lopez/Water Quality & NEPA/13 May 2021

Name/Media/Date: 

PERS Form rev May 2020

Enclosure (2)
# PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: DR - Repair/Replace Roof Bldg. 603 - 100% Design Submittal</th>
<th>Date: 1/25/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## 1. Natural Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| X   |    | **Does project affect flora?**
| X   |    | **Does project affect fauna?**
| X   |    | **Does project affect Bird-Aircraft Strike Hazards (BASH)?**
|     | X  | **Does project affect erosion?**

## 2. Jurisdictional Wetlands or Other Surface Waters [CWA 404(b)(1), E.O. 11990]

- **X** Project is sited in a jurisdictional wetland. See section 13 for required permits.
- **____** Project is not sited in a jurisdictional wetland.
- **____** Provided public notice per E.O. 11990 of proposed action for projects with jurisdictional wetlands prior to RCE signature. The RCE Conclusion Section must include a concise Finding of No Practicable Alternative (FONPA) statement.

## 3. Flood Plains (E.O. 11988)

- **X** Reviewed most current FEMA Map for the project site ([https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home](https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home)).
- **X** Project is sited in a 1-percent-annual-chance flood. See section 13 for required permits.
- **____** Project is not sited in a 1-percent-annual-chance flood (formerly known as the 100-year flood or base flood)
- **X** Provided public notice per E.O. 11988 of proposed action for projects located within flood plains prior to RCE signature. The RCE Conclusion Section must include a concise Finding of No Practicable Alternative (FONPA) statement.

## 4. Coastal Zone Management Act (15 CFR 930)

- **X** Project is located within the Coastal Zone.
- **____** Project is not located within the Coastal Zone.
- **____** Project is not located in the coastal zone, but has potential to effect coastal uses or resources within the coastal zone (e.g., runoff, emissions, protected species, historic resources, etc.).
- **____** A Coastal Consistency Determination was submitted to the state coastal management program for the project on **__/__/_____** (at least 90 days before final approval of the activity, i.e., signed RCE).
- **____** A Negative Determination was submitted to the state coastal management program for the project on **__/__/_____** (at least 90 days before final approval of the activity, i.e., signed RCE).
- **____** Concurrence from the state coastal management program on either the Coastal Consistency Determination or Negative Determination was received on **__/__/_____**.

## 5. Threatened and Endangered Species

- **____** Project has potential for affecting threatened or endangered species or federally designated critical habitats.
- **X** Project has no potential for affecting threatened or endangered species or federally designated critical habitats.
- **____** Biological Evaluation/Assessment is required. Consultation concluded with a concurrence received on **__/__/_____**.

---

**PERS Form rev May 2020**  
**Enclosure (2)**
### PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: DR - Repair/Replace Roof Bldg. 603 - 100% Design Submittal</th>
<th>Date: 1/25/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

6. **Essential Fish Habitat**
   - [X] Project does not have potential to affect essential fish habitat. Consultation with NMFS is not required.
   - ____ Project has potential to affect essential fish habitat. Consultation with NMFS is required.
   - ____ An EFH Assessment was submitted to NMFS on ___/___/____. Consultation concluded with a concurrence received on ___/___/____.

7. **Cultural Resources**
   - ____ Cultural Resources Subject Matter Expert (CR SME) confirms that Project has the potential to affect historic properties. SHPO consultation/concurrency required.
   - [X] CR SME concludes that Project has no potential to affect historic properties. SHPO consultation is not required.
   - ____ CR SME confirms that Project Area of Potential Effects (APE) has been surveyed and no historic properties were identified. SHPO consultation/concurrency required.
   - ____ CR SME confirms that Project will not incur adverse effects on historic properties identified in the APE. SHPO consultation/concurrency required.
   - ____ CR SME confirms that Project will incur an adverse effect on historic properties identified in the APE. SHPO consultation/concurrency required.
   - ____ CR SME confirms that Project will affect sites of interest to federally recognized Indian tribes. Appropriate tribal consultation required.
   - ____ CR SME confirms that Section 106 consultation concluded with a concurrence received on ___/___/____.

8. **Water, Wastewater and Stormwater**

8a. **Water:**
   - [X] Implementation of the Proposed Action will not affect water.
   - ____ Construction permit required for extension of water system. See section 13.
   - ____ Backflow preventer(s) required. Must be field tested by licensed inspector upon installation.
   - ____ Sprinkler system must have rain sensor device.
   - ____ Well drilling/mod/abandonment must be conducted by a licensed contractor. Permit required. (See Section 13.)
   - ____ Other: Storm water management plan will require addressing possible contaminated soil exposure.

8b. **Wastewater:**
   - [X] Implementation of the Proposed Action will not affect wastewater.
   - ____ Construction permit required to connect to collection system. See section 13.
   - ____ No permit required.
   - ____ Other: ________________________________

8c. **Stormwater:**
   - [X] Implementation of the Proposed Action will not affect stormwater.
   - ____ Site included in station stormwater master plan; permit required but may access existing stormwater system.
   - ____ NPDES 5-acre site; construction contractor must obtain permit and implement Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (CWA Section 402). See section 13.
   - ____ Notice of Intent/Notice of Termination required.
   - ____ Upon completion site will be included in station Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
   - ____ Other: Erosion control measures in place and/or dust control measures whenever earth is exposed.
   - Other: Power wash runoff must not enter storm drains. Avoid power washing with soap or
### PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: DR – Repair/Replace Roof Bldg. 603 - 100% Design Submittal</th>
<th>Date: 1/25/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chemicals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Must submit Stormwater Management Plan for approval before commencing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. **Installation Restoration Program (IRP)/Leaking Petroleum Storage Tank (LPST)**

- **X** Facility is sited near an IRP/LPST site. Approximately __SSE. 50__ feet away.
- Facility is not sited on or near an IRP/LPST site.
- The nature of the site contamination does not preclude the type of construction activity proposed.
- Land Use Restrictions are in effect.
  - The proposed facility is acceptable land use.
  - The proposed facility is not acceptable land use.
  - There is a Compliance Agreement associated with this site.
  - A Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study &/or Affected Property Assessment Report was completed on __/__/__, to accurately delineate the aerial extent of the contamination.

The following activities must be coordinated with the IRP Manager/Navy: excavation, sampling, and 40-hour H&S training.

10. **Air Pollutants**

- Will be generated by implementation of the Proposed Action.
  - Request for permit determination is required.
  - Only de minimus air effects are expected (identify sources in RCE).
- **X** Will not be generated by implementation of the Proposed Action.
- **X** Conformity applicability analysis is not required.
- Conformity applicability analysis is required. See section 13.
- **X** Construction Permit for new air emissions source is not required.
- Construction Permit for new air emissions source is required. See section 13.
- Ozone Depleting Substance must be recaptured in conformance with Clean Air Act
- Contractor is responsible for air emission record keeping.

11. **Hazardous Wastes**

- Will implementation of the Proposed Action generate any wastes? **Yes** __X__ No

Hazardous waste generation and disposal must be coordinated with the Station HW Manager.

11a. **Asbestos:**

- Present: (See attached Asbestos Survey Certification form.)
  - **X** Not present.
  - Survey completed on ________________.
  - Need asbestos survey.
  - Department of Health Notification Required
  - Contractor is responsible for all Notification fees and disposal costs.

All asbestos work will be done by personnel who hold a license issued by the appropriate regulatory authority.
## PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET

**Project Name:** DR – Repair/Replace Roof Bldg. 603 - 100% Design Submittal

**Date:** 1/25/21

### 11b. Lead Based Paint:
- [ ] Present: (See attached Lead Survey Certification form.)
- [ ] Need lead survey.
- Survey completed on _____________.
- [X] Not present. (Lead Survey Certification not required.)

### 11c. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs):
- [X] Not present.

- Other known hazardous or toxic substances and pollutants (e.g. contaminated soils):
  - [X] Not present.
  - Present: See IR Affected Property Assessment Report

### 12. Solid Wastes
- [ ] Solid waste disposal must be coordinated with Solid Waste Manager.
- [ ] Will work being performed on the project generate any nonhazardous waste? [X] Yes  ____ No
- [ ] Construction and Demolition debris? [X] Yes  ____ No
- [ ] Recyclables? [X] Yes  ____ No (All recyclable quantities must be reported/submitted in tons to SWM)

### 13. Environmental Permits
- [ ] The following permits are required prior to construction:
  - [ ] Army Corps of Engineers Permit for wetland impacts.
  - [ ] Construction permit required for extension of potable water system per ______
  - [ ] Construction permit required to connect to sanitary collection system per ____________
  - [ ] Well drilling/modification/abandonment Permit required per _____________. Work must be performed by a licensed contractor.
  - [ ] NPDES 5-acre site; construction contractor must obtain permit and implement Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan.
  - [ ] Construction permit for new air emissions source.
  - [ ] Dig permit required per _____________.
  - [ ] Other Permits: ____________
- [X] No permits are required.

### 14. Extraordinary Circumstances

Were one or more extraordinary circumstances of 32 CFR 775.6(e)(1) present and a consideration for this proposed action?
- [X] Yes*  ____ No

*If yes, notify CNRSE Regional NEPA Coordinator immediately.
**PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name: DR - Repair/Replace Roof Bldg. 603 - 100% Design Submittal</th>
<th>Date: 1/25/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

15. **Comments:**

Spill Prevention: 40 CFR 112 requires secondary containment and overfill protection for every fuel/oil tank or container with at least 55-gallon capacity. Please ensure secondary containment is provided for all hazardous materials maintained on-site. Use drip pans during transfer operations; adequate absorbent material must be onsite to clean up any spills and prevent releases to the environment. Cover tanks and drip pans during inclement weather and provide procedures and equipment to prevent overfilling of tanks. Contact the Spill Program Manager at 850-452-9349 for additional information if needed.

16. If the proposed action does not require a documented Record of Categorical Exclusion (RCE), complete the following:

The proposed action falls under CATEX __8__ and does not require a formally documented RCE, per CNRSEINST 5090.1B (4)(c).

17. **Are multiple CATEXs being applied to this proposed action?** _X___Yes   ____No

Please note the use of multiple CATEXs for a proposed action should be the exception, not the rule. NAVFACSE NEPA Core should also be engaged to ensure consistency across the Region. If it has been determined the use of multiple categorical exclusions (typically no more than 2) are appropriate for the proposed action, please revise the conclusion language of Section 6 of the RCE to the following:

**Conclusion:** The undersigned finds that the proposed action is within the scope of CATEX XX and CATEX XX, and none of the exclusions from reliance on a CATEX apply in this case. Regarding the use of multiple CATEXs for this proposed action, the undersigned also finds that the proposed action has not been improperly of segmented to meet the definition a CATEX; that the proposed action is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts; is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts; and, would not irreversibly commit the Navy to one large action. Therefore, the proposed action is excluded from the requirement for further NEPA analysis.

18. Per NRSEINST 5090.2B, please submit complete copies of all signed RCEs with enclosures to the CNRSE Regional NEPA Coordinator within 14 calendar days of signature, in a single pdf file.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Division Director</th>
<th>Signature:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Michael Keethler</strong></td>
<td>KEETHLMICHAEL_076019329510749017201081000208</td>
<td>05/14/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works Department, Pensacola</td>
<td>ELMNEWTON_076019329510749017201081000208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE: (850) 452-2114</td>
<td>KEETHLMICHAEL_076019329510749017201081000208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAX: (850) 452-2893</td>
<td>ELMNEWTON_076019329510749017201081000208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental Reviewers:

Name/Media/Date: **Thomas L. Archie /IR/26 Jan 21**

Name/Media/Date: **Darrell L. Wilson / AIR-SPCC / 26 Jan 21**
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Enclosure (2)
Name/Media/Date: Anna E. Lizana / NR / 26 Jan 21

Name/Media/Date: Carrie Williams-Hannah/Cultural Resources/27 Jan 21

Name/Media/Date: Robert Wilkins / Haz Waste / 28 Jan 21

Name/Media/Date: Joelle O'Daniel-Lopez/Water Quality & NEPA/13 May 2021

Name/Media/Date:

Name/Media/Date:

Name/Media/Date:

Name/Media/Date:

Name/Media/Date:

Name/Media/Date:
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

EARLY NOTICE AND PUBLIC REVIEW OF A PROPOSED ACTIVITY IN A FLOODPLAIN

Pursuant to Executive Order 11988, *Floodplain Management*, the United States Department of the Navy (Navy) gives notice that the Navy is conducting an evaluation of a proposed action which may involve construction in a floodplain to determine the potential effects that its activity in the floodplain would have on the human environment. The Navy will be identifying and evaluating practicable alternatives to locating the action in the floodplain and the potential impacts on the floodplain from the proposed action, as required by Executive Order 11988.

Naval Air Station (NAS) Pensacola has been recovering from the effects of Hurricane Sally since landfall occurred on September 16, 2020. The proposed action is to complete repairs on various interior and exterior components of hurricane damaged buildings throughout the NAS Pensacola complex. This notice includes the repairs on eleven buildings located in the floodplain at NAS Pensacola. As required by EO 11988, a more detailed description of the proposed action is available below.

There are three primary purposes for this notice. First, people who may be affected by activities in floodplain and wetlands and those who have an interest in the protection of the natural environment should be given an opportunity to express their concerns and provide information about these areas. Commenters are encouraged to offer alternative sites outside of the floodplain and wetlands, alternative methods to serve the same project purpose, and methods to minimize and mitigate impacts. Second, an adequate public notice program can be an important public educational tool. The dissemination of information and request for public comment about floodplain and wetlands can facilitate and enhance Federal efforts to reduce the risks and impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of these special areas. Third, as a matter of fairness, when the Federal government determines it will participate in actions taking place in floodplain and wetlands, it must inform those who may be put at greater or continued risk.

Interested parties may submit written comments no later than 5:00 PM Central Time on May 10, 2021 by email to michael.keethler@navy.mil or by mail postmarked no later than May 10, 2021 to:

Naval Air Station Pensacola
Michael Keethler, Environmental Program Director
310 John Towers Road, Building 3560
Pensacola, FL 32508
PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Naval Air Station (NAS) Pensacola has been recovering from the effects of Hurricane Sally since landfall occurred on September 16, 2020. The proposed action is to complete repairs on various interior and exterior components of hurricane damaged buildings throughout the NAS Pensacola complex. This notice includes the repairs on eleven buildings located in the floodplain at NAS Pensacola. See project maps below.

The purpose of the proposed action is to provide safe facilities needed for training, housing, and office space to support the military and training missions at NAS Pensacola. This project will include repairs to NAS Pensacola Buildings 253, 601, 603, 607, 623, 634, 680, 3901, 3902, 3903, and 3912. The proposed action is needed because these facilities sustained substantial damage from Hurricane Sally.

This project includes, but is not limited to, the repair or replacement of interior ceilings, interior flooring, interior and exterior walls, interior and exterior doors, windows, fire protection systems, electrical systems, interior and exterior lighting, HVAC systems, plumbing systems, roofing systems, exterior fencing, structural systems, and exterior canopies, handrails, and ladders. The project also includes mold, lead, and asbestos abatement, removal or pruning of storm damaged trees, site restoration, landscaping, and storm pipe infrastructure repair and cleanout. Restoring facilities to fully functional conditions is essential to meeting military mission requirements for NAS Pensacola.

The Navy is proposing the action in a floodplain because repair of existing buildings is the most cost-efficient and least destructive alternative for restoring these facilities to fully functional conditions. Alternatives to repair actions include new construction and no action. New construction outside of the floodplain would allow for new modern facilities but at much greater economic and environmental costs than repair. If no action is provided, the facilities would continue to deteriorate and eventually become inoperable. This will incur extra costs and negatively affect military and training missions. Most importantly, the mold impacts present in some buildings due to water intrusion will increase, posing life, health, and safety hazards to military trainees and personnel. Repair of damaged buildings is the most cost-efficient alternative, will ensure that short and long-term military mission requirements are met, and allow for minimal effects to the floodplain and the natural environment.

Interested parties may submit written comments no later than 5:00 PM Central Time on May 10, 2021 by email to michael.keethler@navy.mil or by mail postmarked no later than May 10, 2021 to:

Naval Air Station Pensacola
Michael Keethler, Environmental Program Director
310 John Towers Road, Building 3560
Pensacola, FL 32508
Scott,

Naval Air Station Pensacola (NASP) Building 603 sustained damages during Hurricane Sally that made landfall west of Pensacola on 16 Sep 2020 (Enclosure 1). Building 603 (8ES1647) was constructed in 1937 and is a contributing resource to the Pensacola Naval Air Station National Historic Landmark District (8ES103). As a result of this damage, an abandoned elevator penthouse was rendered structurally unsound. Since the elevator was abandoned years ago, and there are no future plans to reinstall an elevator in this location, the Navy is proposing a partial demolition of the upper portion of the penthouse to ensure the preservation of the remainder of B. 603. This email serves as documentation to seek your concurrence with our finding of "No Adverse Effect" for this undertaking pursuant to 36 CFR 800.5(d)(1).

The majority of the damage to the abandoned penthouse is in the upper portion of the structure, above the decorative concrete band seen in the attached photographs (Enclosure 2). The upper, structurally compromised portion of the penthouse will be demolished to the service platform inside the penthouse, infill as required then covered with matching roofing material. The door opening will be infilled with brick salvaged from the proposed demolition. Finally, the existing antennae will be reattached to the reduced penthouse structure. The remainder of the elevator shaft below the penthouse is no longer extant through the building, but rather the space on each floor has been repurposed for mission requirements. Enclosure 3 includes 1936, 1942 and 1992 structural drawings, and Enclosure 4 contains the current renovation drawings (DHR Project File No.: 2015-5459 and 2010-5384).

The parapet wall around the roof extends approximately 6.5 feet above the roof deck, while the top of the concrete band is approximately 8 feet above the roof deck. Once the upper portion of the penthouse is removed, the remainder will no longer be visible from the ground and within approximately 900 feet of the building to the north. There are three (n=3) other elevator penthouses located on the roof of Building 603 that will remain unaltered (Enclosure 5). The Navy has determined the removal of the severely damaged upper portion of this elevator penthouse will have "No Adverse Effect" for this undertaking at Building 603 nor the National Historic Landmark District. This email serves to seek your concurrence pursuant to 36 CFR 800.5(d)(1).

Please let me know if you have any additional questions. Thank you in advance for your time, and I look forward to hearing from you.

Respectfully,
Carrie

Carrie Williams-Hannah
Cultural Resources Manager
NAVFAC Southeast
NAS Pensacola Public Works Department
310 John Towers Rd
Pensacola, FL 32508-5303
Phone:(850) 452-2055
DSN: 459-2055
Fax: (850) 452-3447
Cell: (850) 619-5601
Carrie Williams-Hannah, M.A.
Cultural Resources Manager
NAS Pensacola Public Works Department
310 John Towers Rd
Pensacola, Florida 32508-5303

RE: DHR Project File No.: 2020-6842, Received by DHR: November 20, 2020
    Proposed Partial Demolition of the Elevator Penthouse on Building 603
    Naval Air Station Pensacola, Escambia County

Dear Ms. Williams-Hannah:

This office reviewed the referenced project for possible impact to historic properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places. The review was conducted in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended and 36 CFR Part 800: Protection of Historic Properties.

Building 603 (8ES1647) is a contributing resource to the Pensacola Naval Air Station National Historic Landmark District (8ES103). We note that Building 603 sustained damages from Hurricane Sally and the elevator penthouse was rendered structurally unsound. The Navy is proposing a partial demolition of the upper portion of the penthouse to ensure the preservation of the remainder of the building. Based on the information provided, this office concurs with your finding that the proposed undertaking will have "No Adverse Effect" on the historic character of Building 603 or the National Landmark District.

This office request that an updated Florida Master Site File Historical Structure form for Building 603 along with current photographs be submitted to our office.

If you have any questions, please contact Scott Edwards, Historic Preservationist, by electronic mail scott.edwards@dos.myflorida.com, or at 850.245.6333 or 800.847.7278.

Sincerely,

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D.
Director, Division of Historical Resources
and State Historic Preservation Officer

Division of Historical Resources
R.A. Gray Building • 500 South Bronough Street• Tallahassee, Florida 32399
850.245.6300 • 850.245.6436 (Fax) • FLHeritage.com
Scott,

Please regard this email as a formal invitation to consult under Section 106 of the NHPA.

NAS Pensacola and environs have been recovering from the effects of Hurricane Sally since landfall occurred on September 16, 2020. Initial building assessments have resulted in scopes of work for repairs that will be utilized to solicit design/build proposals to complete the work. Constructed in 1937, Building 607 (8ES1774) is National Register of Historic Places eligible and located within the Chevalier Field Hangars Historic District (Enclosure 1). This facility currently serves as the Aviation Plaza Mall.

Building 607 requires repairs to the roof and roof system, soffit and fascia, masonry walls, and the repair and resetting of concrete sills (Enclosure 2). Damage to the brick and mortar in various locations requires some brick replacement and mortar repointing. The windows and lintels will be replaced, during which the brick and mortar around the window openings will be repaired and repointed. Finally, several doors will be replaced in-kind. All work will be conducted according to the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (SOI Standards). After contract award, the Navy will review the design submittals to ensure that in-kind replacement is achieved and consult your office to garner concurrence.

Since all work on Building 607 will be accomplished per SOI Standards and architectural features indicative of the building and the historic district will be maintained, the Navy has concluded that the hurricane repairs of Building 607 warrant a finding of NO ADVERSE EFFECT.

I look forward to your review and concurrence at your earliest opportunity.

Respectfully,
Carrie

Carrie Williams-Hannah
Cultural Resources Manager
NAVFAC Southeast
NAS Pensacola Public Works Department
310 John Towers Rd
Pensacola, FL 32508-5303
Phone: (850) 452-2055
DSN: 459-2055
Fax: (850) 452-3447
Cell: (850) 619-5601
Carrie Williams-Hannah, M.A.
NAVFAC Southeast
310 John Towers Road
Pensacola, Florida 32508-5303

RE: DHR Project File No.: 2021-1748
Hurricane Sally Repairs to Building 607
Naval Air Station Pensacola, Escambia County

Dear Ms. Williams-Hannah:

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer reviewed the referenced project for possible effects on historic properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places. The review was conducted in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and its implementing regulations in 36 CFR Part 800: Protection of Historic Properties.

We note that Building 607 (BES1774) is a contributing resource to the Chevalier Field Hangars Historic District, which has been previously determined to meet the criteria for listing in the National Register. Based on the information provided, this office concurs with your finding that the proposed undertaking should have "No Adverse Effect" on the historic character of Building 607 or the district with the following conditions:

- All work will be in accordance with the recommended approaches as set forth in the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings.
- Architectural features indicative of the building and the historic district will be maintained.
- Plans and drawings will be submitted to this office for review and approval.

If you have any questions, please contact Scott Edwards, Historic Preservationist, by electronic mail scott.edwards@dos.myflorida.com, or at 850.245.6333 or 800.847.7278.

Sincerely,

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D.
Director, Division of Historical Resources
and State Historic Preservation Officer

Division of Historical Resources
R.A. Gray Building • 500 South Bronough Street• Tallahassee, Florida 32399
850.245.6300 • 850.245.6436 (Fax) • FLHeritage.com
Scott,

Please regard this email as a formal invitation to consult under Section 106 of the NHPA.

NAS Pensacola has been recovering from the effects of Hurricane Sally since landfall occurred on September 16, 2020. Initial building assessments have resulted in scopes of work for repairs that will be utilized to solicit design/build proposals to complete the work. Constructed in 1942, Building 680 (8ES1711) is National Register of Historic Places eligible and located within the Annapolis of the Air Historic District (Enclosure 1).

Necessary repairs on Building 680 include the replacement of the roof, including underlayment, flashing, gutters and downspouts (Enclosure 2). NASP recently consulted on replacing the existing 3-tab shingle roof with an architectural shingle roof on buildings within the Annapolis of the Air Historic District (see Enclosure 3). In this regard, we propose to install architectural shingles on Building 680 that match the current shingle color and will afford greater protection to the facility. Other repairs include columns, fascia, soffit, trim, and replace flashing throughout. With regard to replacing the columns beyond repair within the Annapolis of the Air Historic District, I have attached consultation from 2015 in Enclosure 4. All work will be conducted according to the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (SOI Standards). After contract award, the Navy will review the design submittals to ensure that in-kind replacement is achieved and consult your office to garner concurrence.

Since all work on Building 680 will be accomplished per SOI Standards and architectural features indicative of the building and the historic district will be maintained, the Navy has concluded that the hurricane repairs of Building 680 warrant a finding of NO ADVERSE EFFECT.

I look forward to your review and concurrence at your earliest opportunity.

Respectfully,

Carrie

Carrie Williams-Hannah  
Cultural Resources Manager  
NAVFAC Southeast  
NAS Pensacola Public Works Department  
310 John Towers Rd  
Pensacola, FL 32508-5303  
Phone: (850) 452-2055  
DSN: 459-2055  
Fax: (850) 452-3447  
Cell: (850) 619-5601
Dear Ms. Williams-Hannah:

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer reviewed the referenced project for possible effects on historic properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places. The review was conducted in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and its implementing regulations in 36 CFR Part 800: Protection of Historic Properties.

We note that Building 680 (8ES1711) is a contributing resource to the Annapolis of the Air Historic District, which has been previously determined to meet the criteria for listing in the National Register. Based on the information provided, this office concurs with your finding that the proposed undertaking should have "No Adverse Effect" on the historic character of Building 680 or the district with the following conditions:

- All work will be in accordance with the recommended approaches as set forth in the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings.
- Architectural features indicative of the building and the historic district will be maintained.
- Plans and drawing will be submitted to this office for review and approval.

If you have any questions, please contact Scott Edwards, Historic Preservationist, by electronic mail scott.edwards@dos.myflorida.com, or at 850.245.6333 or 800.847.7278.

Sincerely,

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D.
Director, Division of Historical Resources
and State Historic Preservation Officer
Scott,

Please regard this email as a formal invitation to consult under Section 106 of the NHPA.

NAS Pensacola has been recovering from the effects of Hurricane Sally since landfall occurred on September 16, 2020. Initial building assessments have resulted in scopes of work for repairs that will be utilized to solicit design/build proposals to complete the work. Buildings 601 (8ES1844), constructed in 1936, and Building 623 (8ES1846), constructed in 1939, are both National Register of Historic Places eligible and located within the Annapolis of the Air Historic District (Enclosure 1).

Necessary actions on Building 601 and 623 include the repair/replacement of the roof, doors, columns, signage, and aluminum windows that were installed in the early 1980s (Enclosure 2). NASP recently consulted on replacing the existing 3-tab shingle roof with an architectural shingle roof on buildings within the Annapolis of the Air Historic District (see Enclosure 3). With regard to replacing the columns beyond repair within the Annapolis of the Air Historic District, I have attached consultation from 2015 in Enclosure 4. All work will be conducted according to the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (SOI Standards). After contract award, the Navy will review the design submittals to ensure that in-kind replacement is achieved and consult your office to garner concurrence.

Since all work on Buildings 601 and 623 will be accomplished per SOI Standards and architectural features indicative of both buildings and the historic district will be maintained, the Navy has concluded that the hurricane repairs of Buildings 601 and 623 warrant a finding of NO ADVERSE EFFECT.

I look forward to your review and concurrence at your earliest opportunity.

Respectfully,
Carrie

Carrie Williams-Hannah
Cultural Resources Manager
NAVFAC Southeast
NAS Pensacola Public Works Department
310 John Towers Rd
Pensacola, FL 32508-5303
Phone: (850) 452-2055
DSN: 459-2055
Fax: (850) 452-3447
Cell: (850) 619-5601
Carrie Williams-Hannah, M.A.
Cultural Resources Manager
NAVFA Southeast
NAS Pensacola Public Works Department
310 John Towers Rd
Pensacola, Florida 32508-5303

January 20, 2021

RE: DHR Project File No.: 2021-107, Received by DHR: January 15, 2021
Proposed Renovation to Buildings 601 and 623
Naval Air Station Pensacola, Escambia County

Dear Ms. Williams-Hannah:

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer reviewed the referenced projects for possible effects on historic properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places. The review was conducted in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and its implementing regulations in 36 CFR Part 800: Protection of Historic Properties.

We note that Building 601 (ES1844) and Building 623 (ES1846) are contributing resources to the Annapolis of the Air Historic District, which this office previously determined to meet the criteria for listing in the National Register.

Based on the information submitted and provided that all work meets the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation, this office concurs with your finding that the proposed undertakings will have no adverse effect on the historic character of Buildings 601, 623 or the district.

This office request that an updated Florida Master Site File Historical Structure form for Building 601 and 623 along with current photographs be submitted to our office. Our current files on Building 601 and 623 date from 1985. In addition, we also request that the Annapolis of the Air Historic District be recorded on a Florida Master Site File Resource Group form. Photocopy of a USGS 7.5' map with district boundary clearly marked; large scale street, plat or parcel map with resources mapped & labeled; tabulation of all included resources; and photographs of general streetscape or views are needed to complete the form. A copy of the resource group form can be downloaded at www.flheritage.com/preservation/sitefile.
If you have any questions, please contact Scott Edwards, Historic Preservationist, by electronic mail scott.edwards@dos.myflorida.com, or at 850.245.6333 or 800.847.7278.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D.
Director, Division of Historical Resources
and State Historic Preservation Officer
Scott,

Please regard this email as a formal invitation to consult under Section 106 of the NHPA.

NAS Pensacola and environs have been recovering from the effects of Hurricane Sally since landfall occurred on September 16, 2020. Initial building assessments have resulted in scopes of work for repairs that will be utilized to solicit design/build proposals to complete the work. Constructed in 1941, Building 810 (SES2232) is National Register of Historic Places eligible and located within the Saufley Field Historic District (SES2807); see Enclosure 1. This building currently serves as a warehouse for the Federal Bureau of Prisons.

Building 810 requires roof replacement to include repairs or replacement of the underlayment, flashing, drainage system, parapet walls and coping (Enclosure 2). Other work includes repointing concrete masonry in several locations, and replacement of exterior lighting fixtures. All work will be conducted according to the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (SOI Standards). After contract award, the Navy will review the design submittals to ensure that in-kind replacement is achieved and consult your office to garner concurrence.

Since all work on Building 810 will be accomplished per SOI Standards and architectural features indicative of the building and the historic district will be maintained, the Navy has concluded that the hurricane repairs of Building 810 warrant a finding of NO ADVERSE EFFECT.

I look forward to your review and concurrence at your earliest opportunity.

Respectfully,
Carrie

Carrie Williams-Hannah
Cultural Resources Manager
NAVFAC Southeast
NAS Pensacola Public Works Department
310 John Towers Rd
Pensacola, FL 32508-5303
Phone: (850) 452-2055
DSN: 459-2055
Fax: (850) 452-3447
Cell: (850) 619-5601
Dear Ms. Williams-Hannah:

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer reviewed the referenced project for possible effects on historic properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places. The review was conducted in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and its implementing regulations in 36 CFR Part 800: Protection of Historic Properties.

We note that Building 810 (8ES2232) is contributing resources to the Saufley Field Historic District (8ES2807), which has been previously determined to meet the criteria for listing in the National Register. Based on the information provided, this office concurs with your finding that the proposed undertaking should have "No Adverse Effect" on the historic character of Building 810 or the district with the following conditions:

- All work will be in accordance with the recommended approaches as set forth in the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings.
- Architectural features indicative of the building and the historic district will be maintained.
- Plans and drawings will be submitted to this office for review and approval.

If you have any questions, please contact Scott Edwards, Historic Preservationist, by electronic mail scott.edwards@dos.myflorida.com, or at 850.245.6333 or 800.847.7278.

Sincerely,

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D.
Director, Division of Historical Resources
and State Historic Preservation Officer

Division of Historical Resources
R.A. Gray Building • 500 South Bronough Street • Tallahassee, Florida 32399
850.245.6300 • 850.245.6436 (Fax) • FLHeritage.com
Scott,

Please regard this email as a formal invitation to consult under Section 106 of the NHPA.

NAS Pensacola and environs have been recovering from the effects of Hurricane Sally since landfall occurred on September 16, 2020. Initial building assessments have resulted in scopes of work for repairs that will be utilized to solicit design/build proposals to complete the work. Building 634 (8ES1701), constructed in 1940, is National Register of Historic Places eligible and located within the Annapolis of the Air Historic District (Enclosure 1). This facility currently serves as the library, college center and chapel offices.

Necessary actions on Building 634 include the general repair of the masonry, cornice trim, fascia, flashing, and the replacement of six damaged windows (Enclosure 2). The roof and roof system, several entrance canopies and light fixtures, loading dock handrails, and exterior ladders require replacement. NASP recently consulted on replacing the existing 3-tab shingle roof with an architectural shingle roof on buildings within the Annapolis of the Air Historic District (see Enclosure 3). In this regard, we propose to install architectural shingles on Building 634 that match the current shingle color and will afford greater protection to the facility. All work will be conducted according to the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (SOI Standards). After contract award, the Navy will review the design submittals to ensure that in-kind replacement is achieved and consult your office to garner concurrence.

Since all work on Building 634 will be accomplished per SOI Standards and architectural features indicative of the building and historic district will be maintained, the Navy has concluded that the hurricane repairs of Building 634 warrant a finding of NO ADVERSE EFFECT.

I look forward to your review and concurrence at your earliest opportunity.

Respectfully,
Carrie

Carrie Williams-Hannah
Cultural Resources Manager
NAVFAC Southeast
NAS Pensacola Public Works Department
310 John Towers Rd
Pensacola, FL 32508-5303
Phone: (850) 452-2055
DSN: 459-2055
Fax: (850) 452-3447
Cell: (850) 619-5601
Carrie Williams-Hannah, M.A.
NAVFAC Southeast
310 John Towers Road
Pensacola, Florida 32508-5303

RE: DHR Project File No.: 2021-1729
Hurricane Sally Repairs to Building 634
Naval Air Station Pensacola, Escambia County

Dear Ms. Williams-Hannah:

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer reviewed the referenced project for possible effects on historic properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places. The review was conducted in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and its implementing regulations in 36 CFR Part 800: Protection of Historic Properties.

We note that Building 634 (BES1701) is a contributing resource to the Annapolis of the Air Historic District, which has been previously determined to meet the criteria for listing in the National Register. Based on the information provided, this office concurs with your finding that the proposed undertaking should have "No Adverse Effect" on the historic character of Building 634 or the district with the following conditions:

- All work will be in accordance with the recommended approaches as set forth in the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings.
- Architectural features indicative of the building and the historic district will be maintained.
- Plans and drawings will be submitted to this office for review and approval.

If you have any questions, please contact Scott Edwards, Historic Preservationist, by electronic mail scott.edwards@dos.myflorida.com, or at 850.245.6333 or 800.847.7278.

Sincerely,

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D.
Director, Division of Historical Resources
and State Historic Preservation Officer

April 1, 2021
Scott,

Please regard this email as a formal invitation to consult under Section 106 of the NHPA.

NAS Pensacola and environs have been recovering from the effects of Hurricane Sally since landfall occurred on September 16, 2020. Initial building assessments have resulted in scopes of work for repairs that will be utilized to solicit design/build proposals to complete the work. Constructed in 1934, Building 504 (8ES2286) is National Register of Historic Places eligible and located within the Corry Station Historic District (8ES2517); see Enclosure 1. This building currently serves as the Corry Fire Station.

Building 504 requires roof replacement to include underlayment, insulation, flashing, drainage, and repairs to the parapet walls and coping (Enclosure 2). One window sustained damage and will require repair. All work will be conducted according to the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (SOI Standards). After contract award, the Navy will review the design submittals to ensure that in-kind replacement is achieved and consult your office to garner concurrence.

Since all work on Building 504 will be accomplished per SOI Standards and architectural features indicative of the building and the historic district will be maintained, the Navy has concluded that the hurricane repairs of Building 504 warrant a finding of NO ADVERSE EFFECT.

I look forward to your review and concurrence at your earliest opportunity.

Respectfully,

Carrie

Carrie Williams-Hannah
Cultural Resources Manager
NAVFAC Southeast
NAS Pensacola Public Works Department
310 John Towers Rd
Pensacola, FL 32508-5303
Phone: (850) 452-2055
DSN: 459-2055
Fax: (850) 452-3447
Cell: (850) 619-5601
Carrie Williams-Hannah, M.A.
NAV/FAC Southeast
310 John Towers Road
Pensacola, Florida 32508-5303

RE: DHR Project File No.: 2021-1048
   Hurricane Sally Repairs to Building 504
   Naval Air Station Pensacola Corry Station, Escambia County

March 5, 2021

Dear Ms. Williams-Hannah:

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer reviewed the referenced project for possible effects on historic properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places. The review was conducted in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and its implementing regulations in 36 CFR Part 800: Protection of Historic Properties.

We note that Building 504 (8ES2286) is contributing resources to the Corry Field Historic District (8ES2517), which has been previously determined to meet the criteria for listing in the National Register. Based on the information provided, this office concurs with your finding that the proposed undertaking should have "No Adverse Effect" on the historic character of Building 504 or the district with the following conditions:

- All work will be in accordance with the recommended approaches as set forth in the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings.
- Architectural features indicative of the building and the historic district will be maintained.
- Plans and drawings will be submitted to this office for review and approval.

If you have any questions, please contact Scott Edwards, Historic Preservationist, by electronic mail scott.edwards@dos.myflorida.com, or at 850.245.6333 or 800.847.7278.

Sincerely,

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D.
Director, Division of Historical Resources
and State Historic Preservation Officer

Division of Historical Resources
R.A. Gray Building • 500 South Bronough Street • Tallahassee, Florida 32399
850.245.6300 • 850.245.6436 (Fax) • FLHeritage.com
Scott,

Please regard this email as a formal invitation to consult under Section 106 of the NHPA.

NAS Pensacola and environs has been recovering from the effects of Hurricane Sally since landfall occurred on September 16, 2020. Initial building assessments have resulted in scopes of work for repairs that will be utilized to solicit design/build proposals to complete the work. Located along the Pensacola Bay waterfront, National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) eligible Building 253 (8ES1747) was constructed in 1918 and still serves as the Mustin Beach Officer's Club (Enclosure 1).

Building 253 actions include the repair/replacement of the roof and roof system, a secondary entrance canopy, one damaged window, and one door (Enclosure 2). Other repairs will be made to the fascia, wood trim, soffit, shutters and copper downspouts. All work will be conducted according to the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (SOI Standards). After contract award, the Navy will review the design submittals to ensure that in-kind replacement is achieved and we will consult your office to garner concurrence.

Since all work on Building 253 will be accomplished per SOI Standards and architectural features indicative of the building will be maintained, the Navy has concluded that the hurricane repairs of Building 253 warrants a finding of NO ADVERSE EFFECT.

I look forward to your review and concurrence at your earliest opportunity.

Respectfully,
Carrie

Carrie Williams-Hannah
Cultural Resources Manager
NAVFAC Southeast
NAS Pensacola Public Works Department
310 John Towers Rd
Pensacola, FL 32508-5303
Phone: (850) 452-2055
DSN: 459-2055
Fax: (850) 452-3447
Cell: (850) 619-5601
Carrie Williams-Hannah, M.A.
NAVFAC Southeast
310 John Towers Road
Pensacola, Florida 32508-5303

RE: DHR Project File No.: 2021-1750
  Hurricane Sally Repairs to Building 253 – Mustin Beach Officer’s Club
  Naval Air Station Pensacola, Escambia County

Dear Ms. Williams-Hannah:

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer reviewed the referenced project for possible effects on historic properties listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places. The review was conducted in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and its implementing regulations in 36 CFR Part 800: Protection of Historic Properties.

This office previously determined that the Building 253 (8ES1747) appears to meet the criteria for listing in the National Register. Based on the information provided, this office concurs with your finding that the proposed undertaking should have "No Adverse Effect" on the historic character of Building 253 with the following conditions:

- All work will be in accordance with the recommended approaches as set forth in the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings.
- Architectural features indicative of the building will be maintained.
- Plans and drawings will be submitted to this office for review and approval.

If you have any questions, please contact Scott Edwards, Historic Preservationist, by electronic mail scott.edwards@dos.myflorida.com, or at 850.245.6333 or 800.847.7278.

Sincerely,

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D.
Director, Division of Historical Resources
and State Historic Preservation Officer
# Refrigerant Tracking Form
## NAS Pensacola Complex

## Appliance Service Report: 50 lbs or greater

1. **Work Order #** ___________________________ **Date** ___________________________

   **Company Performing Work:** ________________________________________________

   **Note:** Owners of appliances with charges of 50 pounds or greater are required to repair substantial leaks. A 30 percent annual leak rate is established for the industrial process refrigeration equipment, 20 percent for commercial refrigeration equipment, and 10 percent for air conditioning systems as the trigger for requiring repairs.

   **Leaks found on appliances containing at least 50 pounds of refrigerant must be reported to HVAC Supervisor or site Environmental Manager Immediately.**

2. **Equipment Description:**

3. **Date Leak Reported:** __________ Check if Leak repaired same day: ☐

   **Annual Leak Rate (%):** __________ (only for appliances with charges greater than 50 lbs)

   **Date Leak Repaired (if not repaired the same day):** __________

   **Date of Initial Leak Verification test**: __________ (within 30 day repair period)

   **Date of Leak Follow up verification test**: __________ (within 10 days of successful Initial Verification Test)

   **Annual Leak Inspection Program triggered**: YES / NO

   **Leak Repaired?** YES / NO

   **NOTE:** Initial & Follow up verification tests required only if leak rate exceeds corresponding limit in Note above.

4. **Building:** __________ **Mfr.:** __________ **Model #:** __________

   **Refrigerant Type:** __________ **Serial #:** __________

5. **Full Unit Charge (lbs):** __________

   **Ref. Added Cir. #1:** __________

   **Ref. Removed Cir. #1:** __________

   **Charge per Cir. #1:** __________ lbs

   **Cir. #2:** __________

   **Charge per Cir. #2:** __________ lbs

   *(If more than two circuits, include info on additional circuits in comments section below.)*

6. **Service/Maintenance Action Taken, including evacuation level achieved (mmHg):**

7. **Recovery Equip. Make:** __________ **Model #:** __________ **Serial #:** __________

   **Comments:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>__________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. **Our Recommendations:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. **Certification Type (Check All That Apply):**

   - ☐ Type I
   - ☐ Type II
   - ☐ Universal
   - ☐ MVAC

   **Technician’s Name:** ___________________________ **Technician’s Signature:** ___________________________

   **PLEASE PRINT**

---

1. “Appliance: Any device which contains uses a Class I or II substance or substitute as refrigerant which is used for household or commercial purposes, including any air conditioner, motor vehicle a/c, refrigerator, chiller, or freezer. For a system with multiple circuits, each independent circuit is considered a separate appliance.

2. Rolling Leak Rate = [net lbs refrigerant added over 365 days / lbs refrigerant in full circuit charge] x 100 – Also calculated by RTIS.

3. Need to perform prior to adding refrigerant after the repair.

4. Leak Inspection Requirements = Commercial/Industrial Process Refrigeration > 500 lbs once/3 months; 50-500 lbs once/year; Comfort Cooling > 50 lbs once/year until leak rate does not exceed rates in note above for each category.
# Refrigerant Tracking Form
NAS Pensacola Complex

## Appliance ¹ Service Report: Less than 50 lbs

1. **Work Order #** ___________________________  **Date** ___________________________
   - **Company Performing Work:** ___________________________

2. **Equipment Description:**

3. **Date Service Requested:** ________________  **Check if repaired same day:** [ ]
   - **Date Appliance Repaired (if not repaired the same day):** ________________
   - **Leak Repaired?**  YES / NO

4. **Building:** __________  **Mfr.:** ________________  **Model #:** ________________
   - **Refrigerant:**
   - **# of Circuits:** __________  **Type:**
   - **Serial #:** ________________

5. **Full Circuit**
   - **Charge (lbs):** __________
   - **Ref. Added**
   - **Cir. #1:** __________  **Ref. Removed**
   - **Cir. #1:** __________
   - **Cir. #2:** __________
   - **Cir. #2:** __________
   - *If more than two circuits, include info on additional circuits in comments section below.

6. **Service/Maintenance Action Taken, including evacuation level achieved (mmHg):**

   **Comments:**

   **Our Recommendations:**

7. **Certification Type (Check All That Apply):**
   - [ ] Type I
   - [ ] Type II
   - [ ] Universal
   - [ ] MVAC

   **Technician’s Name:** ___________________________
   - **Technician’s Signature:** ___________________________

---

³ **Appliance: Any device which contains/uses a Class I or II substance or substitute as refrigerant which is used for household or commercial purposes, including any air conditioner, motor vehicle a/c, refrigerator, chiller, or freezer. For a system with multiple circuits, each independent circuit is considered a separate appliance.**

---

**PLEASE PRINT**

³ **when units are found low on Refrigerant this report must be performed, filled out and submitted to the HVAC supervisor***

---